

GEF-7 REQUEST FOR PROJECT ENDORSEMENT/APPROVAL

PROJECT TYPE: FULL-SIZED PROJECT TYPE OF TRUST FUND: GEF TRUST FUND

PART I: PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title: Blue Horizon: Ocean Relief through Seaweed Aquaculture							
Country(ies):	Philippines and Viet Nam	GEF Project ID:	10573				
GEF Agency(ies):	WWF-US	GEF Agency Project ID:	G0030				
Project Executing Entity(s):	SEAFDEC, BFAR (PH), MARD/D-fish (VN)	Submission Date:	February 28, 2022 May 10, 2022 May 12, 2022 May 26, 2022				
GEF Focal Area (s):	International Waters	Expected Implementation Start	January 1, 2023				
		Expected Completion Date	December 31,				
			2026				
Name of Parent Program	N/A	Parent Program ID:	N/A				

A. FOCAL/NON-FOCAL AREA ELEMENTS

		Trust Fund	(in \$)		
PROGRAMMING DIRECTIONS	Eacal Area Outcomes		CEE Droject	Confirmed	
			Financing	Co-	
				financing	
IW-1-1	GEFTF	GEFTF	3,000,000	4,762,815	
IW-1-3	GEFTF	GEFTF	3,000,000	4,762,814	
	Total Project Cost		6,000,000	9,525,629	

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY

Project Objective: To create new sustainable seaweed value chains that will deliver ecosystem services and provide socioeconomic benefits						
				(in \$)		\$)
Project Components/ Programs	Component Type	Project Outcomes	Project Outputs	Trust Fund	GEF Project Financing	Confirmed Co- financing
Component 1: Regional approach and capacity for seaweed value chains in SE Asia	ТА	1.1 Regionally adopted plans and principles to harmonize seaweed aquaculture in SE Asia	 1.1.1. Regional Seaweed Technical Working Group, constituted and formally mandated by SEAFDEC Governing Council 1.1.2. Guide to Promoting a Sustainable Seaweed Industry in the SEA Region, endorsed by the SEAFDEC Governing Council 1.1.3 SEA Regional Principles for Responsible and Safe Seaweed Aquaculture, including toolkit for applying principles, aligned to the Safe Seaweed Coalition 1.1.4 Training modules and 	GEFTF	763,329	1,167,164

			information packages to support a sustainable seaweed industry in South East Asia			
Component 2: Enabling Environment for Seaweed Aquaculture in Philippines and Viet Nam	INV	2.1 Improved planning for seaweed aquaculture and capture of nutrients from the ocean	 2.1.1 Marine spatial planning that integrates more sustainable seaweed farming 2.1.2 National Seaweed Plan presented for adoption (VN) and National Seaweed Industry Roadmap (PH) adapted to local levels 	GEFTF	1,411,992	2,380,388
		2.2 Robust institutional and regulatory frameworks ensure that expansion of seaweed farming is sustainable, responsible, and equitable	 2.2.1 Policy and Regulatory gap analysis and associated frameworks (e.g. Circulars; technical guidelines) to facilitate seaweed aquaculture planning, development and management 2.2.2 An open-source Information Management System to facilitate national and provincial-level planning and management of the seaweed aquaculture sector (VN) 			
Component 3: Seaweed Value Chains (production + processing + marketing)	INV	3.1 Improved technologies and testing for seaweed value chains in PH and VN	 3.1.1: Six demonstration farms to provide proof of concept of different seaweed farming options: Four demonstration farms (of Eucheumatoid species) to provide proof of concept of off-the-coast or off-shore scalable seaweed businesses (based on zones identified in 2.1.2). Two demonstration farms (Caulerpa sp), one in degraded former shrimp ponds and another in adjacent shallow nearshore area 3.1.2: Implementation of at least 2 seaweed value chain initiatives (adding value to raw seaweed in seaweed farming communities; improved propagules; transparency) 	GEFTF	2,910,780	4,540,271
		3.2: Generating benefits from seaweed aquaculture for target	3.2.1: Sustainable Seaweed Toolkit and trainings for improved production, processing and market access			

		communities (PH	3.2.2: Seaweed			
		and VN)	farmer/cooperative support			
			systems			
		3.3: Expanded	3.3.1. Development of 3-4			
		collaboration with	bankable business propositions			
		the finance sector	to scale up sustainable seaweed			
		and private sector	value chain solutions tested			
		to support	under 3.1 and new innovative			
		seaweed value	solutions			
		chains in				
		Philippines and	3.3.2: Investment seminars and			
		Viet Nam	industry and investment forums			
			conducted in collaboration with			
			government representatives,			
			development partners and			
			private sector, including key			
			value chain actors			
Component 4:	ТА	Outcome 4.1:	4.1.1: Participation in two	GEFTF	628,185	994,651
Knowledge		Full participation in	IW:LEARN regional meetings and			
Management,		IW:LEARN and	one GEF International Waters			
M&E, and IW		knowledge	Conference, delivering IW:LEARN			
Learn		management/com	experience notes			
(regional)		munication	4 1 2 Knowledge management			
			4.1.2 Knowledge management			
			products			
		Outcome 4.2	4.2.1. Monitoring and Evaluation			
		Monitoring and	reports (including project			
		evaluation system	progress reports, midterm			
		in place	evaluation, terminal evaluation)			
	•		Subtotal	GEFTF	5,714,286	8,863,114
			Project Management Cost (PMC)	GEFTF	285,714	443,155
			Total project costs		6,000,000	9,525,629

C. CONFIRMED SOURCES OF <u>CO-FINANCING</u> FOR THE PROJECT BY NAME AND BY TYPE

Sources of Co- financing	Name of Co-financier	Type of Cofinancing	Investment Mobilized	Amount (\$)
GEF Agency	WWF US	In-Kind	Recurrent Expenditure	720,000
GEF Agency	WWF US	Grant	Investment Mobilized	3,584,825
Civil Society Organization	Safe Seaweed Coalition	In-Kind	Recurrent Expenditure	300,000
Civil Society Organization	Safe Seaweed Coalition	Grant	Investment Mobilized	200,000
Civil Society Organization	Coast 4C	In-Kind	Recurrent Expenditure	300,000
Civil Society Organization	Marine Environment and Resources Foundation, Inc. (MERF)	In-Kind	Recurrent Expenditure	50,000
Civil Society Organization	Marine Science Institute	In-Kind	Recurrent Expenditure	20,000
Private Sector	Brabender GmbH & Co.KG	In-Kind	Recurrent Expenditure	300,000
Private Sector	Tri Tin Company	In-Kind	Recurrent Expenditure	10,000
Private Sector	Tri Tin Company	Grant	Investment Mobilized	562,608

Recipient Country	Directorate of Fisheries, Viet Nam	In-Kind	Recurrent Expenditure	1,000,000
Government				
Recipient Country	Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic	In-Kind	Recurrent Expenditure	1,658,836
Government	Resources Office IX (BFAR)			
Recipient Country	Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic	In-Kind	Recurrent Expenditure	219,360
Government	Resources Office			
Other	Southeast Asian Fisheries	In-Kind	Recurrent Expenditure	600,000
	Development Center (SEAFDEC)			
Total Co-financing				9,525,629

Investment mobilized has been identified in co-financing committed by WWF US, Safe Seaweed Coalition, and Tri Tin Company. For WWF-US, the investment mobilized covers seaweed value chain activities under the Bezos Earth Fund grant to WWF US. For Safe Seaweed Coalition, the investment mobilized covers grants that support the safety and sustainability of the seaweed industry, especially around the Coalition's three safety focus areas: consumer, environmental, and operational. Finally, the investment mobilized from Tri Tin is related to new investments in piloting seaweed sea-grape models and purchasing of seaweed products in the project site.

Additional co-financing is expected to be generated during project implementation through the outputs related to private sector and investor engagement (at least \$15 million).

The term Investment Mobilized has been used to reflect co-financing that excludes recurrent expenditure, and financing that will be leveraged alongside the GEF grant.

TRUST FUND	RESOURCES	REQUESTED BY	AGENCY(IES).	COUNTRY(IES).	FOCAL	AREA AND THE	PROGRAMMING	OF FUNDS
11100110110	11200011020	ILLQUEDIED DI						0 0

						(in \$)	
GEF Agency	Trust Fund	Country Name/Global	Focal Area	Programming of Funds	GEF Project Financing (a)	Agency Fee (b)	Total (c)=a+b
WWF- US	GEFTF	Regional (Viet Nam and Philippines)	International Waters	N/A	6,000,000	540,000	6,540,000
Total GEF R	esources				6,000,000	540,000	6,540,000

D. DOES THE PROJECT INCLUDE A "NON-GRANT" INSTRUMENT? N/A

E. PROJECT'S TARGET CONTRIBUTIONS TO GEF 7 CORE INDICATORS

Proj	ect Core Indicators	Expected at CEO Endorsement
5	Area of marine habitat under improved practices (excluding protected areas) (Hectares)	1,882
6	Greenhouse Gas Emissions Mitigated (metric tons of CO2e)	290
7	Number of shared water ecosystems (fresh or marine) under new or improved cooperative management	2
11	Number of direct beneficiaries disaggregated by gender as co-benefit of	15,000
	GEF investment	Female: 11,000
		Male: 4,000

<u>Core Indicator 5</u>: In Viet Nam, 380 ha of marine habitat are expected to be under improved practices. This includes 100 ha that will be directly farmed under the project, and an additional 280 hectares zoned for seaweed farming. In the Philippines. 1,502 hectares will be under improved practices. In Roxas, 1,500 hectares will be under better planning and practices based on the MSP process undertaken by Coast4C (the seaweed farm will account for 150 hectares within this MSP). In Zamboanga, the seaweed farm is planned for 2 hectares.

<u>Core Indicator 6</u>: 182 metric tons are expected to be mitigated directly by the seaweed farm in Roxas and Zamboanga. 108 metric tons are expected to be mitigated directly by the seaweed farms established in Viet Nam. This was calculated by assessing the carbon captured by the seaweed (kg of biomass dry weight x 27.5g C per kg).

<u>Core Indicator 7</u>: The project will support two large marine ecosystems: the South China Sea and Sulu-Celebes Seascape. The project is aligned to the South China Sea and Sulu Celebes SAP, however the project will not directly support SAP implementation (related to <u>Core Indicator 7.1</u>). Related to <u>Core Indicator 7.3</u>, Vietnam's National Seaweed Plan will be developed through a multi-sector expert working group (constituted from the ministries of agriculture and rural development, natural resources and environment, industry and trade, and science and technology, and industry associations like VASEP and VSA). This expert working group will subsequently guide the implementation of the Plan. New policy, legal instruments and their implementing rules and regulations as well as technical guidelines will be drafted by the project to support the implementation of the National Seaweed Plan. These reforms will be also injected into provincial-level planning, which has always been multi-sectoral. The baseline rating is 1, the project expects to reach a rating of 4 by project close. In the Philippines, it was determined that the existing governance frameworks of the country to manage the seaweed industry (that includes the Seaweed Industry Roadmap, Philippine National Standards' Code of Good Seaweed Aquaculture Practices) as well as those that govern the broader aquaculture sector, are adequate for the tasks of planning and accounting for impacts of seaweed aquaculture.

<u>Core Indicator 11</u>: The project expects to benefit 7,500 in PH, 6,500 in VN, and 1,000 at the regional level. Given women's significant role in the seaweed sector, the project expects to benefit 11,000 women and 4,000 men.

F. PROJECT TAXONOMY

See Annex G.

PART II: PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

1a. Project Description.

1) The global environmental and/or adaptation problems, root causes and barriers that need to be addressed;

The ocean's foundation is literally eroding. Threats, drivers, and impacts are summarized in the table below.

Threat	Causes / drivers	Impact
Coral bleaching	Climate change, leading to more extreme water temperatures Pollution (e.g. nitrogen ¹)	Extreme increases in water temperature puts coral reefs under huge stress, making corals susceptible to disease and, if the temperature stays high, death. The destruction of coral reefs impacts the numerous marine life that depends on these ecosystems, as well as the associated ecosystem services.
Ocean acidification	Rising levels of carbon dioxide, which reduces the pH levels in the ocean	Ocean acidification impacts hard corals, making it difficult for these marine organisms to build their shells and endoskeletons. In some cases, severe acidification dissolves their calcium carbonate structures.
Nutrient pollution	Nitrogen and phosphorus run-off from agriculture, aquaculture and domestic activities	Eutrophication and Hypoxia. Harmful algal blooms (HABs) consume all the available oxygen to create dead zones, leading to major losses in biodiversity. HABs also cause health problems by contaminating seafood particularly mollusks.

¹ https://www.pnas.org/content/117/10/5351

Climate change (due to carbon emissions) and nutrient pollution (nitrogen and phosphorus) are accelerating/driving these threats. The combined impact of these threats is the degradation of the nearshore marine environment and related ecosystem services. At a global scale, this impacts the 3 billion people that depend on fisheries and marine/coastal biodiversity for their livelihoods. It is also impacting the nearshore environment of the Philippines and Viet Nam, both of which have long coastlines (36,219 km and 3, 260 km, respectively) with coastal communities highly dependent on marine resources.

Viet Nam, for example, has been experiencing more frequent episodes of algal blooms (red tide) in coastal waters due to discharges of nutrients from two major rivers, the Red River and Mekong River, that drain into the South China Sea. Traditional farming areas in the shallow nearshore coastal environment are becoming less suitable for seaweed culture due to pollution brought by run-offs from land-based sources like agriculture and domestic activities; this applies to the Philippines as well. Viet Nam's coastline has experienced unprecedented development in the last two decades, with tourism, port infrastructure and other forms of commercial development competing for space and access to resources. Meanwhile, climate change is raising sea temperature, which has especially pronounced effects in shallow nearshore waters, the adverse impacts on cultured seaweed being lower yield and greater vulnerability to disease.

The challenge is to find ways of capturing the carbon as CO2 to reduce ocean acidity and allow for greater capacity of the ocean to slow the rate of climate change. Moreover, the extraction of nitrogen and phosphorus, which are the key nutrients that cause eutrophication in marine environments, is necessary to limit pollution and mitigate rising ocean temperatures. This is a global challenge and the solutions need to be tested and then scaled.

Barriers addressed by the project

There are significant problems that impair the industry from reaching its potential. The structure of the current industry is characterised by high disease outbreaks (e.g. ice-ice disease) due to rising sea temperatures, pollution and low genetic variability of seedstocks; use (and loss) of Styrofoam, plastic ties, and other materials (such as empty plastic water bottles used for buoyancy); and lack of standards and protocols that adhere to an ecosystem approach to reduce the environmental footprint of production. Barriers to scaling seaweed aquaculture, and achieving corresponding environmental benefits, include the following:

1. Limited coordination, knowledge sharing, and standards for seaweed value chains and seaweed value chain actors

Seaweed farming is a growing sector and is gaining increased attention from a range of actors. While new seaweed initiatives are emerging, the seaweed value chain remains largely fragmented. In order to promote growth of the seaweed value chain, coordination and collaboration among value chain actors is needed. The lack of direction across the current industry implies lost opportunities towards developing blue economy solutions that deliver against today's compounding environmental challenges.

An additional barrier is the lack of regionally harmonized standards and metrics guiding seaweed farming. (Not all seaweed growing countries in Southeast Asia adhere to a standard, much less any formal certification scheme). In order to increase scales of production, the quality of seaweed biomass needs to be standardized (in terms of product safety, environmental safety, and operational safety) and agreed to by actors along the value chain. This requires linking good practices for seaweed farming and processing, and harmonizing global seaweed demands and market requirements for standards with the national seaweed farming industries of the region.

2. Barriers related to Marine Spatial Plans and development plans to promote expansion of seaweed farms in zones farther than nearshore, i.e. off-the-coast and offshore.

The sustainable growth of seaweed farming is constrained by a lack of proper marine spatial plans and operationalization of these plans, particularly in zoning for the various uses and the development of aquaculture management areas. The current industry in the tropics is mostly based on inshore and intertidal areas where multiple users compete for space and resources (i.e. tourism, aquatic animal culture, fishing, navigation, energy production, etc.). In addition, current seaweed farming is operating in small-scale systems. Moving production beyond these traditional and increasingly crowded and possibly polluted areas offers reduced competition for coastal resources and less disease and climate change impacts (for

instance, the water temperature is lower). As cultivation in this area is less intensively spaced, disease and parasites spread less readily, allowing greater space for seaweed farming growth potential. On the other hand, seaweed farms in areas farther from the coast and deeper than the traditional sites face a more dynamic growing environment that includes faster currents, larger waves and stronger winds. It would thus be useful for planners involved in seaweed expansion to be familiar with the conditions and attributes of two expansion areas beyond the nearshore.

To ensure a national enabling environment for seaweed farming, coordination is needed among various actors including government agencies, seaweed associations, seaweed clubs, and private sector where applicable – for the development of seaweed development plans and Marine Spatial Plans that are further translated into zones and area management plans. For seaweed development plans, the links to regional and global value chain market forces must be considered. Such plans rely on buy-in from multiple government agencies and seaweed associations and farmers for success.

3. Limited biorefinery solutions for new seaweed products, which constrains market uptake

Key barriers to overcoming constraints on biorefinery solutions largely relate to:

- Limited analysis of potential seaweed products, including links to demand markets and price points. There is a paucity of new "bridge" markets for seaweed to absorb the increased amounts of biomass and promote increased growth of seaweed farming
- Potential biorefinery solutions are emerging but still have much untapped potential. In particular, new methods for downstream biorefinery processing are needed for pre-treatment, fractionation, extraction, and purification.

In some cases, seaweed products are known, but support and promotion for commercialization is lacking. There is as yet limited private investment in biorefinery solutions for new, market viable seaweed products.

4. Operational barriers related to seaweed farming

There are numerous challenges related to seaweed farming. Small-scale coastal seaweed farming has been taking place for a long time; however, technologies for seaweed farming have remained fairly rudimentary, and production systems often utilize plastics and polluting equipment (nylon, polystyrene and polypropylene wastes). New technologies and types of anchors, buoyancy systems, ropes, harvesting vessels and transportation are not always commercially available and affordable to farmers and cooperatives, which is needed to grow the sector in a more sustainable and safe way.

Offshore seaweed farming brings additional challenges, including higher levels of investments relative to traditional aquaculture infrastructure, increased insurance costs due to operations in exposed conditions, low nutrient availability, lack of knowledge about the farmed species and their behavior, and ensuring workers' well-being in demanding environments. Protecting the safety of food, employees and infrastructure will be a challenge in difficult conditions and remote areas that are hard to reach and monitor from land (Seaweed Manifesto 2020)².

Biological constraints persist, including "ice-ice" disease, epiphyte infestation, bleaching of seaweed affecting the quality and price of Raw Dried Seaweed (RDS), stunted growth and deformities because of decline in genetic vigor of seed. Overall, seaweed farming needs to be linked to new and ongoing technology development in species and strain selection, population genetics, and disease management to ensure resilience and better productivity.

5. Barriers related to the seaweed value chain and socioeconomic benefits for seaweed farming communities

In the Philippines, farmers often receive limited income from their crop. Traders/middlemen control the price that seaweed farmers receive as well as access to financing (such as loans). The real profit in seaweed goes to middlemen further up the seaweed value chain. This presents a barrier to improving seaweed production, upgrading in the value chain as well as improving the lives of the seaweed farmers. There remains, generally, very little value addition by seaweed farming communities to the farm harvest through the production of consumer products.

² <u>https://www.lrfoundation.org.uk/en/news/seaweed-manifesto-launch/</u>

In Viet Nam, engagement among small-scale seaweed farmers in the value chain is relatively low. Challenges include outdated harvesting, preservation, and processing technologies that would ensure good product quality. While there is a growing local demand for seaweed for use in various food preparations, much of the harvest is exported as raw dried seaweed with very little value added. However, *Caulerpa sp.* is consumed directly as food and has an attractive price in domestic markets. Some processed product forms are also being exported.

There has been no major change since the PIF stage.

2) the baseline scenario and any associated baseline programs

The project will build on a number of planned and ongoing seaweed interventions at the global, regional, and national level, each of which is pivotal in advancing the overall objective and the components, outcomes and outputs of this project: There is a range of initiatives of various entities on which the project can build on, contribute to, or draw support from. Collectively they cover the entire value chain of seaweed. Some focus on the governance requirements – mandatory, market-based and voluntary -- for an industry that is expected to expand rapidly, some on innovations and good practices in seaweed farming, others on the development of new and higher value product forms, the new technologies to produce them and investments into these new technologies. A number of specific initiatives include marine spatial planning, genetic improvement for quality planting materials, biosecurity, better farm practices, standards for environmental, food and operational safety, certification for responsible farming as well as management of wild seaweed resources.

GLOBAL AND REGIONAL BASELINE

At a regional and transboundary level, the project will build on the South China Sea Large Marine Ecosystem Strategic Action Program and the Sulu Celebes Large Marine Ecosystem Action Program. The South China Sea SAP includes strategic priority actions and targets for seagrasses, mangroves, and coral reefs, and identifies nutrient loads as a threat to both coral reefs and seagrass beds. The Sulu Celebes Large Marine Ecosystem Action Program's objective is to build the Sulu-Sulawesi into a marine ecoregion that is ecologically healthy and delivers ecosystem services that provide equitable socio-economic and cultural benefits by 2025. Specific actions that the project will build on or contribute to include integrated coastal management plans, and actions to address transboundary problems, including climate change and marine pollution.

The project will also build on the Sustainable Development Strategy for the Seas of East Asia (SDS-SEA) Implementation Plan 2018-2022, which includes both large marine ecosystems. Specifically, the project will build on the following priority management programs included in the Implementation Plan:

- Biodiversity Conservation and Management: Management plans/zoning schemes developed, adopted, and implemented; pilot sites for blue carbon projects
- Climate Change and Disaster Risk Reduction and Management: Mainstreaming climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction and management into programs at the national and local levels
- Pollution Reduction and Waste Management: Reduce marine pollution loadings, including plastics and nutrient pollution

The project will also build on the Implementation Plan's governance program, which includes an emphasis on regional knowledge management and capacity building, as well as investments in blue economy projects through the Ocean Investment Platform.

Standards and Platforms for Seaweed Production

There are several standards/principles that are available for seaweed production. The <u>Aquaculture Stewardship Council</u> (<u>ASC</u>) and <u>Marine Stewardship Council (MSC</u>) have created 5 guiding principles for sustainable seaweed production. The <u>Safe Seaweed Coalition</u> has developed standards to guide consumer safety, environmental safety, and operational safety. The Safe Seaweed Coalition has more than 600 members across the seaweed value chain. Their Seaweed Manifesto, launched in June 2020, outlines a vision for scaling seaweed that also delivers on the sustainable development goals.

Finally, <u>SEAFDEC's</u> mandate covers seaweed aquaculture, and provides an intergovernmental platform for guiding seaweed aquaculture in the region. At a regional level, SEAFDEC supports communication across its members. Seaweed is among the

commodities in which research on seed production, refinement of culture techniques, socio-economics, and climate change adaptation is being conducted at SEAFDEC's Aquaculture Department (AQD) under various Thematic Programs (5-year term and renewed thereafter). There is a Seaweed Biotechnology Unit at AQD's Laboratory for Advanced Aquaculture Technologies.

National standards for seaweed also exist (see Philippines' baseline).

Global Investments in production, processing, and biorefinery solutions for seaweed

There are many global investments in seaweed production, processing, and biorefinery solutions. <u>World Wildlife Fund</u> (<u>WWF</u>) has made several investments in seaweed. With the support of the Jeremy and Hannelore Grantham Environmental Trust, WWF-US made a \$850,000 impact investment in Ocean Rainforest, a seaweed farming operation in the Faroe Islands, to "accelerate and scale growth of global offshore seaweed production...." The investment also aims to improve yields and advance the market potential for seaweed as livestock feed. WWF made a second impact investment in Oceanium, a company looking to operate globally that is developing novel technology to refine seaweed for packaging and other high-value extracts. In addition, WWF has received a grant from the Bezos Earth Fund for advancing seaweed value chains (2020-2023). While the investments are largely for North America and Europe, the technologies and products developed can provide important baseline (technological and social application) for this Project.

Companies are exploring biorefinery technologies for seaweed. <u>Brabender</u> GMBH & Co. KG. is developing technology to make pellets out of seaweed biomass for manufacture into biofilms for, among other uses, bioplastic packaging, in collaboration with the University of the Philippines Marine Science Institute, and a private enterprise. The technology will be ready for pilot testing in a years' time or sooner. Brabender will undertake a pilot test, a study of the product's global value chain and development of seaweed-based bioplastic downcycling as fertilizer to increase the raw material valorization.

<u>FAO and World Bank</u> have been developing business planning models for seaweed aquaculture in Sri Lanka and the Caribbean that could be adapted to a wide range of systems. In addition, the World Bank and PROBLUE have been developing market and case studies for seaweed expansion and novel products that would increase seaweed demand.

The US Department of Energy's '<u>Advanced Research Projects Agency - Energy</u>' (ARPA-E) has invested \$40 million into seaweed aquaculture research as potential biofuels. It has also developed site selection software that supports proper investment locations for optimizing seaweed growth. ARPA-E has also devised seaweed cultivation and harvest equipment methods. Several demo projects are ongoing in collaboration with private sector entities.

Carbon Credits

<u>Oceans 2050</u> is currently undertaking an analysis of the carbon capture potential for various seaweed strains. The global effort (although not including Viet Nam and Philippines) seeks to quantify seaweed carbon sequestration and provide evidence and methodology to validate and monetize the carbon sequestration impact of ocean farming. The <u>Task Force on</u> <u>Scaling Voluntary Carbon Markets (TFSVCM)</u> is a private sector initiative that aims to develop a carbon market. The task force could link carbon credit "sellers" to buyers.

VIET NAM BASELINE PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

Policies and Planning

Viet Nam has a growing seaweed industry.

In 2021, the Government approved the '<u>Strategy for Development of Viet Nam's Fisheries by 2030 with Vision Towards</u> <u>2045'</u> (Decision No. 1664/QD-TTg dated 04/10/2021). Under MARD, the general objective is to develop marine aquaculture into a large-scale, industrial, synchronous, safe, efficient, sustainable commodity industry, and protect the environment; create branded products for domestic and export markets; create jobs, improve socio-economic conditions and raise incomes of coastal communities. Seaweed has been prioritized as a key commodity under the Strategy. The strategy includes the following targets: for nearshore seaweed aquaculture, 170,000 tons by 2025 and 400,000 tons by 2030; for offshore seaweed aquaculture, 10,000 tons by 2025 and 100,000 tons by 2030. The government will assess seaweed growing areas nationwide to support these targets. This strategy is a key government priority that the project will support.

The <u>Directorate of Fisheries</u> is undertaking three projects to achieve this Strategy: (1) development of seaweed production for export up to 2030; (2) developing technologies for seedling production and cultivation of a number of economic-valued seaweeds; and (3) environmental monitoring, fishery extension, and trade promotion. The government is also setting up projects on seed production infrastructure (2021-2030), including for seaweed in Khanh Hoa, Ninh Thuan, and Binh Thuan provinces.

The Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment – through the Viet Nam Administration of Seas and Islands (VASI) - and the Ministry of Planning and Investment (MPI) are leading a <u>Marine Spatial Planning</u> (MSP) process, originally planned to be implemented in 2021-2022, based on a new Planning Law instituted in 2019. Whilst experiencing Covid-related delays, the MSP is expected to provide for more detailed and integrated multisector planning, and includes the coastal waters up to six nautical miles from the coast. A national marine plan for the sustainable exploitation and use of coastal resources, developed by MONRE will be streamlined with the national MSP process in accordance with relevant provisions in the Planning Law. Accordingly, provincial-level coastal planning – developed and approved by the relevant Provincial Peoples Committee in consultation with sectors - is expected to be guided by the national MSP.

The Integrated Coastal Zone Management Strategy has been implemented with the Program on Environmental Management for the Seas of East Asia (PEMSEA). It was started in 2000 and supports over 10 provincial programs on integrated coastal marine resource management.³ However, on-the-ground results of ICZM planning have been limited at best, and coastal decision-making remains largely sector-based. There is an urgent need for provincial-level coastal planning to be more robust, integrated, and focused on aligning growth in coastal areas with climate change risk mitigation strategies. Accordingly, it is expected that the new MSP/National Marine Planning under VASI/MPI will supersede previous ICZM strategic planning.

Provincial level

<u>Ninh Thuan</u> province pioneered the commercial cultivation of seaweed in Viet Nam, introducing *Kappaphycus alvarezii* in the 1990s. During the period 2011-2015, *Kappaphycus* expanded rapidly, exceeding 200 ha of off-the-coast farming and producing approximately 3,500 tons per year, providing a significant source of livelihood for poor communities. Ninh Thuan has drafted a provincial plan. Two off-the-coast zones have been earmarked for mariculture, including zones for seaweed. This provincial plan is expected to be approved in 2022. In Phuoc Dinh commune – the main ward engaged in seaweed farming in Zone D – there are 107 households farming seaweed during peak season, with a total production of around 30 tonnes of fresh seaweed.

Adjacent to Ninh Thuan, <u>Khanh Hoa</u> has favorable conditions for seaweed farming, including *Kappaphycus* and *Caulerpa*. In 2020, there were 47.4 ha of seaweed farming area with a production of around 414 tons. Caulerpa farming is concentrated in Ninh Hai commune, Ninh Hoa town. According to provincial planning for the 2020-2025 period, 80 ha are planned for seaweed farming. Provincial authorities support the expansion of seaweed supply chains in the province, especially for *Caulerpa* due to that species' relatively high yield (20 tons/ha/year), higher market value and current domestic and global market trends.

Private Sector

There are several private seaweed companies that the project could engage for activities along the value chain. <u>Hoa Trinh</u> <u>company</u>, located in Ninh Thuan province, buys fresh and dried *Kappaphycus* from farmers for pre-processing. The company provides microfinance to local farmers who then sell their harvest to the company. <u>Son Hai Carrageenan JSC</u>, located in Ninh Thuan province, is a small processing company that extracts carrageenan from *Kappaphycus*. The company buys dried *Kappaphycus* from Hoa Trinh and other agents. <u>Long Hai Co., Ltd</u>, located in Hai Duong province (an industrialized province in the Hanoi Capital Region) is the nation's biggest seaweed processing company, specializing in processing and trading of carrageenan and value-added products such as jellies and juices. <u>VINABS</u>, located at Van Lương commune, Van Ninh district,

³ World Bank (2019). Situation Assessment of Integrated Coastal Zone Management (ICZM) in Viet Nam. Hanoi: World Bank

Khánh Hoà province, works on *Kappaphycus* seedling production and distribution in a number of provinces. <u>Super Truong</u> <u>Phat Plastic Joint Stock Company</u>, located in Ha Noi, is an input supplier company. It has projects in open sea aquaculture including seaweed farming in Ninh Thuan and Khanh Hoa, Vung Tau provinces.

There are around 20 SMEs working on fresh and processed seagrape product in the project area. <u>Tri Tin</u> (Khanh Hoa) and <u>Hai Nam Okinawa</u> (Binh Thuan) export products to Japan, USA and EU. They process raw materials produced in their own farm and sourced from surrounding farms. <u>Sabudo Sea Grapes</u> (Khanh Hoa) produces seagrapes under their "King Umibudo" brand, using seed sourced from Japan. <u>GCAP Viet Nam Ltd</u> (Khanh Hoa) specializes in farming, processing and trading in fresh and powdered seagrapes.

Tri Tin has started to establish a supply link with farmers but the scale is still limited. They will implement three pilot models of seagrape culture in collaboration with the Project:

- i. A model of seagrape cultivation in earth ponds
- ii. A model of seagrape cultivation in earth ponds integrated with sea cucumber
- iii. A model of seagrape cultivation in the open sea, in nearshore leases near earth pond operations

Research and development

There are several research and academic institutions in Viet Nam that provide the scientific and technology support to the industry's development.

The <u>Nha Trang Institute of Technology Research and Application</u> (NITRA), under the Viet Nam Academy of Science and Technology (VAST), is focused on a range of research areas, from investigation of bioactive ingredients and pilot-scale fucoidan production, to modelling floating cage seaweed cultivation and studying the potential for new macroalgae products (e.g. probiotic fermented beverages). The <u>Research Institutes of Aquaculture</u> (there are three) are state research facilities assigned by the MARD to conduct research on national aquaculture development. RIA's has been investigating seaweed disease and treatment methods, with a focus on Ice-Ice, epiphytes diseases on Kappaphycus alvarezii, and parasitic disease on Sea grapes (Caulepa lentillifera). RIA3 has also been researching integrated farming of seaweed with fish and other species, as well as other basic research on *Kappaphycus alvarezii* production in marine cage culture and the use of seaweeds as bio-filter in shrimp culture.

The <u>Research Institute of Marine Fisheries</u> (RIMF) is a leading research organization under MARD, carrying out scientific research, technology transfer and advisory services in marine conservation, fishing technology and marine products processing. <u>Nha Trang Fisheries University</u> (NTFU) is engaged in varied research on seaweed cultivation and development, often closely affiliated with NITRA and other organizations.

PHILIPPINES BASELINE PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The Philippines has a well-developed and active seaweed industry, providing a strong baseline for the Project to build on.

Policies and Planning

The Philippines has several plans that guide the seaweed industry:

- i. BFAR's <u>Seaweed Industry Roadmap</u> (2016-2022; updated for 2022-2026) has guided seaweed production in the Philippines over the past five years, and is structured around three key components: (1) improved production; (2) organized seaweed farmers; and (3) promotion/commercialization of seaweed products. It has been updated for the period 2022-2026 and is awaiting approval by the Department of Agriculture. Strategies and interventions include, among others, the expansion of seaweed farming in traditional areas, introduction of seaweed farming in non-traditional areas, adoption of technologies to increase productivity, improvement of post-harvest technologies, and research and development to address the identified problems in production technology, processing and value adding and marketing.
- ii. The <u>Carrageenan Industry Roadmap</u>. Developed by Department of Trade and Industry and the private industry sector, the roadmap for this subsector sets a production and marketing strategy to be realized through action programs. The programs have an implementation guideline and a monitoring mechanism.

iii. In addition to national-level plans, BFAR has updated the <u>Philippine National Standards (PNS)</u> on good aquaculture practices and raw dried seaweed; there is now a final revised draft (PNS/BAFS 85 2021). It covers practices that aim to prevent or minimize the risk associated with aquaculture production in any culture environment. It covers food safety and quality, environmental integrity and socio-economic welfare.

Seaweed production and processing

There are numerous initiatives taking place in Philippines to advance seaweed production and processing.

A number of institutions provide training, manuals, and extension services to support seaweed farmers and seaweed cooperatives/associations in the Philippines:

- i. A National Seaweed Program, led by BFAR, supports implementation of the Seaweed Industry Roadmap. Activities under this program include developing a nationwide network of seaweed farmer/fisherfolk cooperatives. Through this network, BFAR is providing trainings on cooperative management and seaweed production and marketing to seaweed farmers. To date, more than 40 seaweed cooperatives have been officially established and now operational.
- ii. Training for seaweed farmers is also promoted as part of the Technical Education and Skills Development Act (TESDA) of 1994, in which seaweed training regulations are provided. ESDA Seaweed Production NC II provides competency standards and training for seaweed farmers "to operate and maintain seaweed nursery, grow-out seaweed, produce raw dried seaweed and market seaweed.
- iii. The University of San Carlos has developed seaweed manuals translated into 10 dialects. These are available to BFAR, SIAP and NGOs working with farmers.
- iv. The Bureau of Agriculture and Fisheries Standards (BAFS) will continue to host seminars and trainings on the seaweed standards mentioned above. BAFS also collects data on industry practices that include use of fertilizers and chemicals, plastic materials, as well as workplace conditions.

The <u>Philippine Rural Development Project</u> (\$1,102.17 million; 2014-2025) was recently extended (with additional financing) and represents another important baseline initiative; it is led by the Department of Agriculture and is jointly funded by the World Bank, National Government and Local Government Units. The project "aims to increase rural incomes and enhance farm and fishery productivity in targeted areas by supporting smallholders and fishers to increase their marketable surpluses and their access to markets."⁴ The project includes several sub-components focused on seaweed. 47 mostly small scale enterprise projects on seaweed were approved for a total funding of almost PHP 190 million (USD 4 million), with 17 still underway.

<u>Coast4C</u> is a social enterprise launched by the Zoological Society of London (ZSL) in 2020 to drive ocean and climate restoration through inclusive value chains for regenerative seaweed and end-of-life fishing nets. Coast4C supports several ongoing projects with seaweed communities in the Philippines. These projects include: community-based activities aimed at building social infrastructure, financial inclusion, spatial planning and integrating into marine protected areas; building community-level infrastructure (e.g. guardhouses, solar drying facilities, enforcement facilities); and facilitating and supporting marine protected areas. They conduct capacity building activities such as training, provide financial support or facilitate access to financial services (e.g., credit, insurance, etc.) to farmers, and promote product development. Their program seeks to eliminate negative environmental and social impacts of seaweed farming and ensure that it is regenerative and supports community development.

Finally, <u>SEAFDEC Aquaculture Department (AQD)</u> conducts ongoing studies on the development of superior and disease resistant planting materials as well as training of extension workers and seaweed entrepreneurs.

Provincial level

<u>Palawan</u> is one of the top seaweed producing provinces of the Philippines, contributing 24% of the county's production. Its coastline is 2000 km long. Of its 23 municipalities, 20 are seaweed producers. One of these is Roxas which has administrative authority over one of the two project sites: Green Island. Seaweed farming was introduced to this island in

⁴ <u>https://projects.worldbank.org/en/projects-operations/project-detail/P132317</u>

1978 by a private company, Marine Colloids. Green Island has a zoning map developed by the Palawan Council for Sustainable Development, which guides marine resources use in the seascape. Areas off Green Island have been mapped and designated for seaweed farms. A fishers cooperative is active in the area. The Northern Palawan Fishers Cooperative has a membership of more than 130, almost all of them seaweed farmers. The Palawan State University has an ongoing two-year (2021 – 2022) project on seed improvement (as well as capacity building of the local farmers' association they are working with) on Green Island; the University's experimental seed farm, which shall be turned over to the association and continue producing planting materials, can be the source of seedlings for the project demonstration farm. Also on Green Island, the municipal government will continue to provide farmers with materials for improving their livelihoods and has acknowledged the importance of improving seaweed farming and value adding.

Zamboanga Peninsula is the third top seaweed producing region in the country, contributing 13.8% (or 202,606.31 MT) of fresh seaweed in 2020. Total area planted was 11,728 hectares with an additional 17,120 hectares for expansion. The Peninsula has 26,850 seaweed farmers, 126 seaweed farmer associations and cooperatives, 147 traders and 3 seaweed processing plants. Zamboanga provides important baseline for the project, especially for offshore seaweed farming. Currently, 20 units of climate-resilient deep-sea seaweed farms are being piloted in the Zamboanga Peninsula by DA-BFAR Regional Office IX. The seaweed lines are made of polyethylene (PE) ropes with a dimension of 100m x 25m for a total area of 2,500 sq.m. (1/4 hectare).

Research and Development

The <u>Department of Science and Technology (DOST)</u> has various projects on seaweed to support research and development initiatives for seaweed value chains, including a partnership with the Agriculture department to promote carrageenan fertilizer additive, and establishment of a Seaweed R&D Center in Tawi-Tawi. The Seaweed R&D Center supports farmers, traders and processors with the opportunity to use high-quality seaweed stocks, guaranteeing a 35-percent increase in monthly income.

Processing technology, for example for noodle production, is being developed or improved at the <u>National Seaweeds</u> <u>Technology Development Center</u> (NSTDC).

A full description of the baseline can be found in Section 1.5 of the Project Document. There have been changes in the status of some baseline projects since PIF stage:

- *i.* The Global SeaweedStar Project has terminated, but its products such as the Policy Briefs have been referred to during project preparation and will provide useful lessons to project implementation.
- *ii.* The Global Safe Seaweed Coalition has emerged as a major institution now with more than 600 members -- driving the development and adoption of safety standards. It has agreed to collaborate with the Project and provide co-financing.
- iii. Formulation of the Seaweed Development Plan in Viet Nam did not progress; there is no Plan. In this regard, the Project has included the development and adoption by Government of a National Seaweed Plan among its activities.

A more detailed baseline has been provided, including baseline initiatives in the target provinces.

3) The proposed alternative scenario with a brief description of expected outcomes and components of the project;

The objective of the project is "to create new sustainable seaweed value chains that will deliver ecosystem services and provide socioeconomic benefits." The Diagram below provides a succinct representation of the linkages and components of three major elements – enablers, barriers, intermediate objectives and basic objectives.

The result of the Project is enhanced well-being from the environmental, economic, and social benefits generated by the Project. <u>Environmental benefits</u> come from the enhanced ecosystems services provided by the seaweed farms, that include mitigation of acidification of the sea, oxygenation of coastal waters, mitigation of eutrophication of marine waters, mitigation of harmful algal blooms and improvement in the conditions for aquatic biodiversity.

<u>Economic co-benefits</u> accrue from enhanced and diversified livelihood opportunities, which will improve incomes through the production of more and better-quality biomass, production and sale of traditional product forms, participation in the production and sale of higher value products, and should it prove feasible, the prospect of additional income from carbon credits. Some higher value products based on seaweed would also impart environmental benefits. Bioplastics, for instance.

<u>Social co-benefits</u> are in the form of a secure livelihood, more employment generated by the production of and trade in traditional and new consumer products, improved food security. There are also the multiplier effects of higher income from a seaweed enterprise: farmers can use the increased revenues to invest in micro- or other small-scale enterprises (such as a small convenience store). A higher and stable family income enables better access to health care and support to children's education. An intrinsic social benefit is the enhanced self- and collective esteem from being a participant in the development of a progressive, self-reliant community.

The result for the seaweed farming communities and actors in the value chain is secure and sustainable livelihoods and enhanced livelihood assets. And a sustained as well as expanded seaweed farming enhances the ecosystems services of seaweed aquaculture.



To achieve this status are a mix of interrelated policy, science and technology, market support as well as self-management (voluntary) mechanisms are needed that will create or facilitate the conditions and provide the means for:

Large scale sustainable production - availability and access to suitable areas for large scale nearshore and offshore farming, better farming technology and practices, availability of high yielding and robust and healthy propagules, biosecurity measures to maintain health of plants and mitigate grazing.

Post-harvest processing – drying facilities that improve quality of raw dried seaweed, technology for on-site extraction of colloids from fresh harvest, and technology for utilization of biomass after colloid is extracted.

Community-based production of consumer products -- technology is available for production of consumer products, trained personnel for production, establishment of community-based enterprise for production and trading of the

consumer products, organization of cooperative or association to manage and operate the enterprise, product quality standards, and market access for the products.

Commercial scale production of higher value products -- a pilot production plant is established and product quality and processing standards designed.

The supportive actions to create the conditions and provide the means are:

- For production: marine spatial planning, zoning and designation farming areas and development of area management plans. Training in better farming practices and risk management; seed improvement, multiplication, and distribution program.
- For postharvest processing, durable and efficient drying structures, community-based small to medium scale
 production of consumer products, an on-site or at least community-based biorefinery (e.g. multi-stream zero
 emission) technology to extract colloids from fresh harvest and use almost 100 percent of the plant, and high-value
 products manufacture; technology, enterprise development and management, policy support, business models for
 financing, and incentives for investments in bio-refinery and processing enterprises, value chain development.

But these strategic actions and support mechanisms are constrained by numerous barriers:

- Sites are poorly identified, unsuitable because risks to farms are not clearly assessed, not clearly designated and
 potentially subject to future resource use conflicts, and therefore security of farmers' investments in the farm is not
 assured. Factors contributing to these barriers include lack of institutional capacity for marine spatial planning,
 zoning and area management, overlaps or gaps or unclear responsibilities over coastal areas by various government
 agencies as well as by communities, ill-defined or unclear access or rights to mariculture areas, and lack of clear
 licensing requirements or, on the other hand, complicated and excessively stringent requirements.
- Limited or no on-site post- harvest processing (i.e., zero-waste) technology, biorefinery solutions, and higher value product processing facilities.
- Lack of tested technology and limited expertise in large-scale deep-water offshore farming.
- Unreliable supply of viable, high yielding and disease tolerant planting materials.
- Power asymmetry in the value chain of raw dried seaweed; weak transaction capacity of primary producers; lack of value addition skills and facilities in seaweed farming communities.

To overcome these barriers, the Project will adopt these strategic actions:

- Participation in the global Safe Seaweed Coalition
- Provision of technical inputs in the updating of the National Seaweed Development Strategy and Action Plan (of the Philippines and Viet Nam).
- Development of a regional guide to outscale project results and promote the expansion of a sustainable seaweed aquaculture industry.
- Technical support to marine spatial planning and zoning, and the development of area management plan for zoned seaweed aquaculture sites.
- Technical inputs to national policy and decentralized regulations that aim to clarify farmers' access and user rights to seaweed farming areas and grant considerable control of seashore utilisation into the hands of the coastal communities.
- Facilitating the formation of Inter-ministerial coordination groups, and linkages with global, regional, and national seaweed organizations and industry associations.
- Sharing of technology and best practices in culture and post-harvest; sharing in the technology of producing and maintaining superior planting materials and training in culture technology and processing
- Providing technical assistance in better farming practices and risk management to existing shallow water seaweed farms, if any, in the project area.
- Establishing and operating pilot demonstration farms for off-the-coast or offshore seaweed culture.

- Assessing the feasibility of an identified bio-refinery technology, developing an investment model for a pilot plant based on the technology, and working with government, agricultural development banks, and private sector to encourage investments into a commercial scale bio-refinery facility or a higher-value product processing plant.
- Developing a Carbon credit model
- Promoting and providing technical inputs to the organization of cooperatives/associations of farmers and smallscale processors, and developing a support system for these farmer organizations that include better access to material, technical and financial inputs, and access to market.

In summary:

- If there is a guide to a regional seaweed development that SEAFDEC member states commit to, and
- If a regional code of good practice is established that all SEAFDEC member states commit to,
 - Then, the development of the seaweed industry of the region receives a strong impetus from a closer technical cooperation among the member countries; the Guide will be the framework for a cost-effective pooling of national R and D resources and sharing of results, lessons, and expertise. Under this technical cooperation framework, the members with an emerging seaweed sector and aspire to expand it will benefit from the experiences and expertise of the ones with a more advanced industry. The result of this technical cooperation, guided by an industry code of good practice, is a well-governed, orderly expansion of the developing national industries and a collective strengthening of the region's capacity to further expand, modernize and establish a strong influence in global seaweed value chains.
- *If* ecosystem and user assessments are done at a national and site level, then suitable areas for seaweed expansion can be identified, and;
- If policies, regulations, and plans are in place to guide seaweed expansion and develop seaweed value chains in a sustainable way,
 - Then the enabling environment (regulatory, planning) will be in place to support expanded areas for nearshore, off-the-coast, and offshore sustainable seaweed production (Component 2).
- If a reliable supply of quality propagules from technically equipped seaweed nurseries are available, *then* this would allow seaweed biomass quality to increase, and decrease vulnerability to disease and climate change, and;
- If offshore seaweed farming technologies are successfully tested and piloted in different scenarios, *then* there would be proof of concept, which would expand the areas suitable for seaweed farming, and;
- If seaweed farmers are trained in offshore seaweed farming, in culture technology and processing, and in biosecurity risk management, and are provided with superior planting materials, and *then* capacities are in place to facilitate better seaweed production, and;
- If standards are in place for seaweed production and adhered to by farmers, then biomass quality can be ensured,
 - Then seaweed farming and production will be strengthened, with robust and healthy propagules, increased yields, better quality biomass, and seaweeds less vulnerable to disease and climate change impacts.
- If post-harvest processing technology is assessed for on-site extraction of colloids, and technology for utilization of biomass after colloid is extracted, and
- *If* community members are trained in this technology, and community-based enterprises are established or strengthened to trade these products (with a focus on empowering women), and;
- *If* these products are linked to markets, and;
- If business models are in place and facilitate investments in these bio-refinery and processing enterprises,
 - Then seaweed processing will yield higher-value products, which increases seaweed farmer incomes and provides an incentive to expand seaweed production (which would lead to expanded environmental and socio-economic benefits).

- If technical feasibility and economic viability of value addition processing technologies (such as bio-refinery) are assessed, and
- *If* investment models in new value adding technology (i.e. biorefinery) and manufacture of seaweed-based products are developed and shared with prospective investors via investment seminars and 1:1 engagement,
 - > Then this would promote public and private investments in the development of the seaweed industry.

Overall - *If* the above strategies take place, *then* barriers to scaling the seaweed value chain will be removed and seaweed farming will be scaled up. The result for the local coastal ecosystem is enhanced productivity from mitigation of acidification, eutrophication, and oxygen depletion. The result for the planet is the mitigation of hazards from climate change through CO² sequestration and carbon assimilation by seaweed and ocean waters. In addition, seaweed has the potential to yield environmental benefits in other sectors: biodegradable seaweed-based products (such as biofilms) could replace plastics and therefore reduce plastic pollution. In addition, seaweed can be used in aquaculture feed and livestock feed (swine, cattle and poultry), and therefore provide a low-input and low-emission alternative to land-based plant production. There would be less carbon emission from the processing of more food and other consumer products and use of seaweed-based feed and fertilizer, and reduced plastic pollution from biodegradable seaweed-based products (such as bio-plastic packaging). In addition, improved business and marketing skills, and value-adding technologies for seaweed farming communities will increase income and contribute to diversified and secure community livelihoods in seaweed farming communities.

Component 1: Regional approach and capacity for seaweed value chains in SE Asia

Build a supportive regional enabling environment for seaweed aquaculture, through a regional approach expected to support the region's capacity to expand and modernize its seaweed industry and establish a strong influence on global seaweed value chains.

Outcome 1.1 Regionally adopted plans and principles to harmonize seaweed aquaculture in SE Asia

The project will support regional plans, principles of responsible and safe seaweed aquaculture, and trainings to strengthen seaweed aquaculture in Southeast Asia. Component 1 will be implemented by SEAFDEC, an intergovernmental organization whose members include all ASEAN countries and Japan. SEAFDEC will develop the plans and principles (and the toolkit to guide the application of the principles in developing standards of product, environmental and operational safety applicable to SEAsia aligned with those of the Safe Seaweed Coalition) to support a sustainable seaweed industry in the region; these will be endorsed by all member countries to ensure a harmonized approach to seaweed development in the region. Trainings will strengthen the capacity of SEAFDEC member states in implementing those plans and standards.

Output 1.1.1. Regional Seaweed Technical Working Group, constituted and formally mandated by SEAFDEC Governing Council

To support development and implementation of the outputs under this component, a regional Seaweed Technical Working Group (S-TWG) will be constituted and formally mandated by the SEAFDEC Council. The outputs/deliverables the S-TWG will support are: (i) a 'Guide to Promoting a Sustainable Seaweed Industry in the SEA Region' (Output 1.1.2) and (ii) 'Regional Principles of Responsible and Safe Seaweed Aquaculture' and complementary Toolkit (Output 1.1.3).

The S-TWG will have several key functions during project implementation. First, it will provide expertise and integrate the current state of knowledge on seaweed into the regional plans and standards. Second, it will be responsible for coordinating, developing, validating, and supporting the regional adoption of the Guide and Principles. Third, it will represent a platform for awareness, networking, coordination, and knowledge-exchange among key authorities and organizations focused on seaweed development. Fourth, it will facilitate regional technical cooperation to address specific and shared concerns.

Output 1.1.2. Guide to Promoting a Sustainable Seaweed Industry in the SEA Region, endorsed by the SEAFDEC Governing Council

SEAFDEC will develop a 'Guide to Promoting a Sustainable Seaweed Industry in the SEA Region.' This Guide will essentially be a blueprint for accelerating and expanding the seaweed industry in SEA, and managing its development in a manner that

is orderly, inclusive, and equitable. The Guide's strategic direction will consist of social, economic and environmental considerations. The social considerations will include, broadly, equitable access to and management of resources, participation in community development plans and decision-making, and equitable sharing of benefits. Specific considerations will be on gender equity and empowerment along the seaweed value chain. Key result areas will be established and management and technical measures — guided by a set of agreed principles — prescribed to achieve them. The Guide will incorporate the relevant parts of Output 1.1.3 (Principles for environmental, food, and occupational safety) and shall be supported by trainings under Output 1.1.4. Enabling policy measures, as needed, will be recommended to the Council of Directors along with the submission of the Guide for adoption by the Council at their annual meeting.

Output 1.1.3. SEA Regional Principles for Responsible and Safe Seaweed Aquaculture, including toolkit for applying principles, aligned to the Safe Seaweed Coalition

The project will develop regionally applicable principles for responsible and safe seaweed aquaculture. These principles will be aligned to the Safe Seaweed Coalition principles around food safety, environmental safety and operational safety. An accompanying toolkit will be developed with best practices and supporting guidelines for the application of the principles.

The application of the principles will contribute to the sustainability of the industry and the reputation of SEA seaweed industry as a responsible industry. This will enhance domestic and global market access. The principles can be applied by the SEAFDEC member states by integrating them into the development or upgrading of their own national standards, codes of practice, and best management practices.

Output 1.1.4. Training modules and information packages to support a sustainable seaweed industry in South East Asia

To raise capacities to support sustainable seaweed development in the SEAsia region, and support implementation of the 'Guide to Promoting a Sustainable Seaweed Industry in the Region' (Output 1.1.2) and Principles and Toolkit (Output 1.1.3), the project will deliver a number of training modules and information packages. This will include the critical need for inclusive policy and plans that address social inequities and disempowerment, and lessons based on studies and industry experiences in promoting gender equity and empowerment;

5 trainings/webinars will be conducted, including: 1 webinar on the Guide, 1 webinar on the Principles, and 3 trainings on topics shortlisted by SEAFDEC members to support a sustainable seaweed industry.

Component 2: Enabling Environment for Seaweed Aquaculture in Philippines and Viet Nam

Create an enabling environment for seaweed aquaculture at the national and local levels with a governance framework comprising policy, regulatory and technical guidelines.

Outcome 2.1. Improved planning for seaweed aquaculture and capture of nutrients from the ocean

The project will fund plans and assessments to identify areas suitable for seaweed farming, taking into account ecosystem carrying capacity, climate change scenarios, and existing uses to minimize user conflict. These assessments will inform coastal and marine spatial maps for seaweed aquaculture expansion. For suitable areas, the project will support site specific development plans to ensure the enabling conditions are in place for seaweed farms. In addition, the project will support National Seaweed Plans and industry roadmaps. In Viet Nam, the project will support D-fish and MARD to develop a 10-year Seaweed Development Plan. In the Philippines, the project will support BFAR in adapting and translating the provisions of the Seaweed Industry Roadmap into local regulations (i.e. municipal ordinances) and good practice guidelines.

Output 2.1.1. Marine spatial planning that integrates more sustainable seaweed farming

In <u>Philippines</u>, this Output will deliver a marine spatial plan for Roxas that identifies zones with specific uses attached to them (including proper siting of sustainable seaweed farms and support facilities). The MSP will be developed in a participatory way, incorporating the views of men and women. The purpose is to ensure that the seaweed farm established under the project is sited in a socially and ecologically sustainable way. An MPA borders Roxas, which will also be considered in the MSP process. This aspect of the project will also monitor and assess the positive and negative impacts of

seaweed farming on the environment, marine life, and corals so that good practices and safeguards can be developed to enhance the positive and mitigate the negative. In the second site, Buenavista, Zamboanga City, the offshore farming will take place in an area that is within the mariculture zone approved in the Comprehensive Land Use Plan (CLUP) of Zamboanga City. BFAR Region IX has submitted a request for a gratuitous permit for the exclusive utilization of the area by BFAR; it is under process. In brief, the MSP preconditions have already been met for Zamboanga.

In <u>Viet Nam</u>, the project will deliver:

1. Seaweed zones mapped in 10 provinces to contribute to national level MSP process and provincial-level coastal planning (VN)

To support integration of seaweed considerations into the national-level MSP process (taking place through baseline) and related provincial-level coastal planning, the project will identify and confirm areas for seaweed culture in 10 coastal provinces with potential for seaweed.⁵ The project will also support D-Fish participation in MSP national planning processes.

2. Two (2) provincial seaweed development plans to guide pilot seaweed farms, with guidelines and trainings to upscale planning process to other provinces.

To deliver this output, the project will define a process for developing seaweed development plans. The provincial development plans will be informed, among others, by the process and results of the pilot demonstration farms (under Output 3.1.1). To promote uptake in other provinces, the project will offer training courses and webinars to raise capacity on these guidelines. It will also incorporate lessons and practices in promoting gender equity and empowerment along the seaweed value chain. Based on the process defined for developing seaweed development plans, an expansion area for seaweed farming will be identified in another offshore environment (outside of the 2 pilot provinces) where Kappaphycus or Caulerpa is farmed.

Output 2.1.2. National Seaweed Plan presented for adoption (VN) and National Seaweed Industry Roadmap (PH) adapted to local levels

The output of the project in the <u>Philippines</u> is the adaptation and translation into local regulations of the provisions of the Seaweed Industry Roadmap. The revised Philippine Seaweed Industry Roadmap (2022-2026) has been finalized and is pending approval by the Department of Agriculture. (DA). The roadmap presents detailed strategic plans for the Philippine seaweeds industry to guide its progress towards the goal of regaining its position in the international seaweeds industry. The national policies and program support of DA will be communicated to different seaweed farming communities where coastal waters are under the jurisdiction of the municipality.

In Viet Nam, the project will deliver:

1. Multi-sector Expert Working Group led by D-Fish is established, given the mandate and functional

Supported by capacity building and technical outputs from Output 2.1.1, a multi-sectoral Expert Working Group (EWG) will be established under D-Fish – with defined terms of reference and work program - to review Viet Nam's National Seaweed Plan (NSP) and to support stakeholder consultation and input from a diversity of public and private actors.

2. National Seaweed Plan is finalized for endorsement by MARD

Based on the strengthened information base (Output 2.1.1) and consultations with major stakeholders, including review and comments on drafts, a National Seaweed Plan (NSP) will be developed. The NSP will provide consolidated guidance on seaweed farm targets, priority areas, timelines, capacity requirements and implementation roadmap, and will be informed by - and consistent with - the national Strategy for Development of Viet Nam's Fisheries by 2030 with Vision Towards 2045. The NSP will be finalized by D-Fish with direct support from the N-PMU - including full stakeholder workshops and national consultations as required – and submitted to MARD for endorsement by the Minister.

⁵ Kien Giang, Phu Yen, Khanh Hoa, Quang Ninh, Hai Phong, Ba Ria - Vung Tau, Ninh Thuan and Binh Thuan, Binh Dinh, Ca Mau, as identified in Decision 339.

Outcome 2.2. Robust institutional and regulatory frameworks ensure that expansion of seaweed farming is sustainable, responsible, and equitable

The National Seaweed Plan developed through the project, and plans for seaweed expansion into offshore areas, may require new policies, regulations or guidelines to ensure a supportive enabling environment for the sustainable expansion of seaweed farming. The policy and regulatory gap analysis, already carried out and reported by the Project Preparation Team of Viet Nam, will inform a more detailed analysis by the Project. Based on this gap analysis and with government support, the project will support the development of specific guidelines or frameworks to address identified barriers to seaweed farming. A critical regulatory issue is access and user rights. Considered a strength in marine aquaculture is the decentralized control of coastal area and resources utilization by local authorities and the local people. This will be an important consideration in policy formulation or revision and translating national policy into local regulations and good sector management guidelines.

The project preparation Team of the Philippines made the determination that the existing governance frameworks of the country to manage the seaweed industry (that includes the Seaweed Industry Roadmap, Philippine National Standards' Code of Good Seaweed Aquaculture Practices) as well as those that govern the broader aquaculture sector, are adequate for the tasks of planning and accounting for impacts of seaweed aquaculture. Hence, the Philippines will not undertake activities under Outcome 2.2. However, relevant results of the Project will be used by BFAR to inform the updating of existing policy and implementing rules and regulations and updating of national standards.

Output 2.2.1. Policy and Regulatory gap analysis and associated frameworks (e.g. Circulars; technical guidelines) to facilitate seaweed aquaculture planning, development and management (VN)

The project will undertake an assessment of what implementation guidelines, sub-laws (e.g. circulars) etc. may be needed to suitably implement the National Seaweed Plan and optimize its impact. Priority policy gaps and needs will be identified and described, including barriers to market expansion, capabilities for and constraints to enforcement, and gaps in human and financial resources. Specific recommendations for guidelines and additional measures to address the gaps and barriers will be developed. Based on these, new policy and/or legal instruments will be drafted. In addition, voluntary measures and best practices for the seaweed industry will be identified, recommended and endorsed through a participatory process (stakeholder consultations and workshops).

In addition, based on the NSP and associated regulations and guidelines, a monitoring and evaluation system will be developed and tested in the two pilot provinces. With the NSP anticipated to provide a solid foundation for M&E, and further supported by detailed guidelines, this work package is intended to provide practical field-level insight into how to optimally implement M&E for enforcement and compliance, documenting lessons learned and providing a scalable model that may be subsequently applied in other provinces.

Output 2.2.2. An open source Information Management System (IMS) to facilitate national and provincial-level planning and management of the seaweed aquaculture sector (VN)

Through project development activities and consultations with D-Fish and industry, the need for an open-source Information Management System (IMS) was universally identified and agreed upon. An IMS is needed for a variety of reasons. It would ensure accurate and routinely updated data for monitoring and evaluation that can also be applied at the national and provincial government levels to support planning (including zoning/MSP). It may be utilized as a market-access tool, providing real-time market information as well as enhanced transparency in the supply chain, thus lowering risks to investors. It may also be linked to enhanced farm security (e.g. mitigate poaching), providing additional value and reducing risks. Moreover, the IMS can be used for more precise monitoring not only of the environmental benefits of farm systems (e.g. nutrient absorption, habitat enhancement, increase in fish stocks), but also the impacts of environmental hazards, extreme weather events, fish predation, and any impacts on corals and red-listed species (e.g. sea turtles), allowing for such data and information to be widely communicated and for mitigation strategies to be developed and applied in a timely way.

Component 3: Seaweed Value Chains (production + processing + marketing).

Operate, with organized producers - pilot farms in areas farther than current sites (i.e. off-the-coast or offshore) that will serve as proof of concept for seaweed production – thus expansion -- in these environments. Introduce value adding

technology and organize and train community based small to medium scale enterprises (SMEs) in the production and marketing of the products. Then seek prospective financing of upscaled offshore farming models and value adding enterprises as well as for development support. (i.e. grants and loans) to national programs to expand and modernize the seaweed industry.

3.1 Improved technologies and testing for seaweed value chains in PH and VN

A no conversion (mangroves etc.) and sustainable intensification approach will be at the core of growing the seaweed aquaculture sustainably. It will address near coastal barriers (including disease outbreaks and pollution) via a gradual move of seaweed production away from the shoreline and into the open ocean. Demonstration farms will be established within national marine spatial plan (MSP) frameworks, and with the specific goal of advancing uniformly accepted risk assessment, rapid alert systems and data collection in order to develop safe modes of production, focusing on food safety, occupational safety and environmental safety. This would help overcome barriers of insufficient information that directly limit off-take agreements amongst global supply chain actors, contribute to the low level of regulations, and represent a barrier for insurability.

The project will support seaweed value chain initiatives to address barriers to production and processing. This may include new production or processing technologies that add value to seaweed closer to the farming communities and provide opportunities to increase the earning capacity of households, reduce work burdens of women, enhance women empowerment and promote gender equity along the value chain; improving propagules to ensure they are resistant to disease and tolerant to higher water temperature; and supply chain transparency initiatives to better monitor against the Safe Seaweed Coalition's food safety protocols, provide quality assurance, and thereby connect to global markets and supply chain actors. Potential initiatives have been identified during project preparation. A few (likely 4) will be selected during execution based on supply chain assessments and consultations.

Output 3.1.1. Six demonstration farms to provide proof of concept of different seaweed farming options:

- Four demonstration farms (of Eucheumatoid species) to provide proof of concept of off-the-coast or offshore scalable seaweed businesses (based on zones identified in 2.1.2).
- Two demonstration farms (Caulerpa sp), one in degraded former shrimp ponds and another in adjacent shallow nearshore area

	Location	Seaweed Species	Description
1	Aplaya Buenavista, Zamboanga City, Philippines	Kappaphycus spp.	Offshore Circular marine fish cage modified for seaweed culture
2	Green Island, Roxas, Palawan, Philippines	Eucheuma denticulatum, Kappaphycus spp.	Off-the-coast (Using traditional longline method with robust and fortified anchorage; tubular net for consideration)
3	Phuoc Dinh Commune, Thuận Nam District, Ninh Thuan Province, Viet Nam	Kappaphycus (1)	Off-the-coast (approx. 2 km from shoreline; 5+m depth) Zone D (5m); Floating net
4	Van Ninh district, Khanh Hoa, Viet Nam (Van Phong Bay)	Kappaphycus (1)	Off-the coast Floating net
5	Ninh Hoa town, Khanh Hoa, Viet Nam (Caulerpa lentillifera)	Caulerpa (2 farms considered as 1 site)	Earth pond and Nearshore coastal plot

The seaweed farms will be monitored for nutrient and carbon absorption (including the Caulerpa farms), status of marine life habitat, increase in fish catch in the seaweed farming areas, and any impacts on corals and on red-listed species of seaweed farms.

Output 3.1.2: Implementation of at least 2 seaweed value chain initiatives (adding value to raw seaweed in seaweed farming communities; improved propagules; transparency)

The project will explore several biorefinery solutions that will increase the value of seaweed biomass and provide environmental benefits. The environmental benefits from biorefinery solutions include zero or almost zero waste with all parts of the biomass converted to a valuable product or precursor to a higher value product; use of fresh biomass as an input in a process that does not use chemicals (i.e. alkali) that can pollute soil and water; and promote a circular economy as with the production of pellets that are processed into bio-plastics, which are biodegradable and can be recycled into fertilizer. Economic and social benefits include better price and more demand for the farmers' fresh seaweed products; reduced cost from doing away with drying; and more employment opportunities along the value chain.

In the <u>Philippines</u>, the project will connect seaweed biomass (produced by the project under 3.1.1 and through existing seaweed farms) to market. The project will both ensure a premium price for the Raw Dried Seaweed (RDS) in exchange for adhering to environmental criteria, and establish technologies to add more value to seaweed biomass at the site level. Added-value technologies will reduce the communities' dependency on one single market (carrageenan extraction industry), the project will establish new processing technologies in Roxas. Value-added to seaweed biomass means greater income for the communities, and provides an incentive to the seaweed farmers to further expand seaweed farms and production capacity (furthering social and environmental benefits). The project will focus on equal opportunity for participation, parity in decision-making relevant to the management and operation of the enterprise, and equity in benefit sharing for men and women.

The exact type of processing technology will be selected during execution from the following:

- i. Use of eucheumatoids for e.g., bread, noodle production.
- ii. Liquid fertilizer production Collection of seaweed juice/ drippings and preserved for use as fertilizer
- iii. Fish feed
- iv. Bioplastic refinery At Brabender, a technology is being developed to process eucheumatoids via extrusion into a plastic-like material.

Also under this Output, Coast4C will set up a traceability system for seaweed farmers to access price premium available through Coast4C's market linkages, in exchange seaweed farmers need to be able to demonstrate that they are meeting social, environmental and quality criteria. Along with purchasing seaweed at a premium, Coast4C will support an initiative to recycle end-of-life fishing nets to reduce plastic pollution and provide additional income to the communities.

In <u>Viet Nam</u>, the project will establish a Kappaphycus seedling hatchery, providing good quality and adequate supply of seedlings to improve the growth rate and productivity of the pilot farms/zones. The hatchery will be established by the seedling extension service center in Nha Trang, which is under DARD. The center will provide the financing with support from the Project to enable the center to develop a business model. In addition, the project will pilot one biorefinery system piloted (with at least 30% co-financing from private sector). There has been limited analysis of potential biorefinery seaweed products as new "bridge" markets to promote increased growth of seaweed farming. However, potential biorefinery solutions are emerging and stakeholder consultations confirmed a strong interest in developing this segment, including developing downstream biorefinery processing for pre-treatment, fractionation, extraction, and purification and the associated production facilities to support such products being brought to the market.

Outcome 3.2. Generating benefits from seaweed aquaculture for target communities

In addition to piloting new technologies, the project will work with organized men and women seaweed farmers in target communities to (a) increase the effectiveness of activities under Outcome 1, and (b) improve the practices and benefits for existing seaweed farms and seaweed farmers. To improve seaweed farming practices, the project will develop and deploy a Sustainable Seaweed Toolkit that incorporates the Principles of Responsible and Safe Seaweed Aquaculture (from Outcome 1.1) and food safety, environmental safety and operational safety protocols identified by the Global Safe Seaweed Coalition Platform and best practices identified under Component 1.

To address barriers related to lack of finance and selling power, the project will build support systems and tools related to marketing, business, and finance. The Toolkit and trainings will be provided to seaweed farmers and seaweed cooperatives/associations in the target communities. The Gender Action Plan includes activities to ensure men and women's participation and capacity building.

Output 3.2.1. Sustainable Seaweed Toolkit and trainings for improved production and processing and market access

In the Philippines, BFAR will conduct training on the operation and management of an offshore large-scale farm on Green Island (Roxas, Palawan) and Zamboanga. Different toolkits will be prepared. These include a toolkit for selected farmers who will be trained on offshore seaweed farming operation and management under 3.2.2. Training will include safety procedures in operating and maintaining the offshore structure for a sustainable seaweed production.

Coast4C will develop a toolkit and training materials for sustainable practices that enable farmers to meet the criteria for price premium. This will include: a toolkit that documents the lessons learned from the project and methods applied, and a training of trainers to personnel of BFAR and members of the cooperative on sustainability and quality requirements. These sustainable practices will extend on the Philippine Good Aquaculture Practices and incorporate best practices produced by the Safe Seaweed Coalition. The content will be tailored specifically to the requirements of buyers willing to pay a premium for the seaweed. These materials will basically provide a "how-to" guide for implementing the steps and practices required to qualify for premium prices.

In <u>Viet Nam</u>, the deliverable is capacity building (awareness and training) programs developed and implemented on these skills:

- Seedling selection, maintenance and production (200 farmers/staff trained)
- Product value chain development, domestic market access strategy, and export development plans (100 farmers/staff trained)
- Establishing effective e-commerce platforms and e-marketing (40 local women)
- Post-harvest processing, product quality assurance, safety and environmental standards (100 farmers/staff trained)

Additional capacity-building for improved production and processing will be ensure that project results on farm management and value-chain models are optimized for community-based value addition, with the aim to facilitate adoption of improved technology, facilitate market access, enhance value, manage markets risks, raise incomes and generate local jobs. Informed by Best Practices from Output 1.1.2 and results of the pilots (Outcome 3.1), training modules and information packages will be developed and delivered (via workshops, seminars and peer-to-peer exchanges) on: seedling selection, maintenance and production standards (200 farmers/staff trained); product value chain development, export development plans and domestic market strategy (100 farmers/staff trained); post-harvest processing standards including HACCP, ISO and other product quality and safety standards (100 farmers/staff trained) and; international environmental standards (e.g. ASC, MSC, organic). The training and awareness program will be uniformly implemented across the seaweed sector with representation sought from all farming areas.

Because of the vital importance of digital media in product marketing and market development, and the lead role of women in this segment of the value chain, this Output will include parallel training for women in the local seaweed farming community on the use of e-commerce platforms (i.e. e-retail site development; online promotion and marketing; customer service; packaging; delivery and transactions).

Output 3.2.2: Seaweed farmer/cooperative support systems

In the <u>Philippines</u>, focus will be on capability building on the range of skills, aptitudes and practices to govern, strengthen and sustain a cooperative. Trainings (total of 16 sessions in 4 years) will be undertaken once every quarter to develop the knowledge, skills and attitudes of the local seaweed farmers and enable them to lead, manage and sustain their seaweed farming activities, trading, and livelihood (with additional seaweed value chain) beyond the 4-year duration of the project. The existing cooperative in Palawan will be strengthened, while a new cooperative will be established in Zamboanga.

In <u>Viet Nam</u>, farmer cooperatives will be formed at all 3 pilot sites, two for *Kappaphycus* and one for *Caulerpa*, with membership sought from raw dried seaweed producers as well as other segments of the supply chain including processing

companies and/or suppliers. As the formal establishment of a farmer "cooperative" can be a lengthy process, the Project will initially form a voluntary "group" of production households (about 20-30 households per site) to ensure their early participation in the activities. The local stakeholders' meetings and field surveys have confirmed a generally strong interest among local stakeholders to participate. Component 3 activities will build the group's internal operational capacities that will support its formal designation as a Cooperative (operating at Commune level).

To achieve this, the project will help organize official meetings in all 3 sites, in order to agree on scope and common objectives and to confirm early interest. Once a minimum threshold of interest (e.g 3 individual farmers and at least one private sector member) is achieved, the project will facilitate the development of a draft charter outlining common agreed objectives. Complementing other training and awareness-raising activities conducted through the project (e.g. 3.2.1), additional sign-on will be achieved through subsequent group and/or individual consultations.

Outcome 3.3. Expanded collaboration with the finance sector and private sector to support seaweed value chains in Philippines and Viet Nam

The project will leverage the finance and private sector to unlock finance, scale up seaweed production, and increase demand for seaweed biomass. This includes developing bankable business propositions to co-finance and scale up activities under Outcome 3.1, including processing and biorefinery technologies that bring value to seaweed farming communities while also contributing to the increasing demand for seaweed biomass.

Output 3.3.1: Development of 3-4 bankable business propositions to scale up sustainable seaweed value chain solutions tested under 3.1 and new innovative solutions

In the <u>Philippines</u>, there will be four investment propositions: an upscaled model of a deepwater farm (Roxas) and of an offshore farm using the modified circular cage (Zamboanga), and at least two value adding enterprises. The farm model will be based on an analysis of the performance over the project period of the demonstration farms. The value adding enterprises will be based on the performance of the community-based enterprise established by the project and the performance of the biorefinery. Feasibility studies of three different products (e.g. fertilizers, fishmeal/oil replacement products, blue sugars for bio-plastics) will inform the business models. These will be carried by the national PMU with technical support of BFAR and Coast4C.

In <u>Viet Nam</u>, the deliverable is to develop a portfolio of (at least 3) bankable business projects based on project models, feasibility assessments, and research. In order to optimize results from the models advanced in Outcome 3.1 (and to take fullest advantage of new capacities developed under Outcome 3.2) it will be necessary to identify private sector partners and investors to secure further investment in seaweed value chains focused on innovative production - including testing technologies (biorefinery, seedlings) developed under the project and/or new models identified - in order to ensure that these projects are scaled up and succeed in bringing more value to seaweed farming communities and the national economy.

To secure such investment the Project will work with the private sector and local communities to advance 3 bankable business propositions for industrial scale off-the-coast seaweed farming. Based on the results of Outcome 3.1, assessments of value chain and financial requirements will be conducted for the products developed in pilots. Following this – and anchored by a fully engaged farmers' cooperative, new capability and capacity in production and value-chain development, and a newly created multi-stakeholder platform -- a portfolio of projects for commercial-scale operation will be generated in close collaboration with the private sector and development partners (e.g. World Bank).

3.3.2. Investment seminars and industry and investment forums conducted in collaboration with government representatives, development partners and private sector, including key value chain actors

In the <u>Philippines</u>, business models from 3.3.1 will refined by reviews from private industry and the Department of Trade and Industry before they are presented in investment forums. The organization of the forums will be a joint responsibility of national executing agency (BFAR), Coast4C and the Regional PMU. Advice and collaboration in the organization of the forums will be sought from the Board of Investments of the Department of Trade and Industry. Investment funds, development banks, and private industry will be invited to the forums; at least 2 will be organized on the second half of Year 4.

In <u>Viet Nam</u>, the deliverable is to organize at least 2 investment seminars with investment funds (e.g., Aqua-Spark, IDH, DFCD and other identified sources), to promote innovation, models and develop investment opportunities. Similarly, investment seminars with investment funds (e.g., Aqua-Spark, IDH, DFCD and other identified sources) will be organized by the National-PMU in collaboration with industry advisors. National seminars will be chaired by D-Fish with possible co-chairing (e.g. World Bank) explored.

Component 4: Knowledge Management, M&E, and IW Learn (regional)

Lessons learned and experiences from the project will be shared widely in regional and international forums. Project results will be widely disseminated through various media including a website created and dedicated to the Project. The activities will be monitored, evaluated and the lessons communicated to stakeholders.

Outcome 4.1. Full participation in IW: LEARN and knowledge management/communication

The Project will adhere to and support the knowledge exchange objectives of a GEF project. The different users of information in the industry and along the value chain, from farmers to policy makers, will be catered to, their needs assessed and information from the project focused on meeting these information needs. Knowledge products will be packaged as solutions to relevant issues and problems, designed and presented to stimulate innovative ideas. Messages will be geared to informing decisions and guiding actions that lead to desired changes in behaviors of actors along the seaweed value chain in line with regulations, standards and codes of practice. These will be shared widely and in a timely manner.

To ensure knowledge from the project is appropriately documented and disseminated, the project will implement a knowledge management and communications plan. A mix of knowledge exchange media and activities will be carried out to share the results of the Project with relevant users of the information at the national, regional, and global levels to inform policy, programs, and development initiatives and provide decision support for seaweed aquaculture and processing enterprises. The knowledge products will support scaling up of project results.

Communication and knowledge products will include: a guide for establishing and managing offshore seaweed farms, lessons and best practices associated with deploying seaweed value chain technologies, trainings and toolkits for improved seaweed production, guidelines for achieving safety principles, guidelines for compliance with best practices, lessons in equitable participation among various actors and between genders in and sharing of benefits from business transactions along the seaweed value chain, national seaweed development strategies and action plans, methodologies for development of a seaweed area management plan that considers risks and user conflicts, and a report on the achievement of regional seaweed metrics and targets.

Knowledge management and communications will build on project partner's existing networks and communication mechanisms. SEAFDEC is a key partner for ensuring regional knowledge dissemination and uptake of project results in national policies and strategies.

The project will actively participate in and contribute to IW: LEARN, including PMU attendance at regional meetings, the GEF IW Conference, and twinning exchanges. A website will be developed that is linked and searchable through IW: LEARN's International Waters Information Management System. This will be the web-based platform to disseminate project results to a global audience and to relevant institutions and practitioners.

Finally, the Safe Seaweed Coalition will post project-developed knowledge products as appropriate, reaching a broad membership of practitioners and decision makers along the seaweed value chain.

Output 4.1.1. Participation in two IW:LEARN regional meetings and one GEF International Waters Conference, delivering IW:LEARN experience notes

As a GEF IW funded project, this project will actively participate in the IW:LEARN community, including participation in the IW:LEARN community through the development of a project webpage on the IW:LEARN website; Develop IW:LEARN experience notes and publish to share lessons learned and best practices from the project; support in-person participation – selected from the regional and national executing agencies and the project management units -- to IW:LEARN events. Relevant IW:LEARN events include a project twinning, regional thematic IW:LEARN event(s), and the biannual IW:LEARN Conference (IWC).

Output 4.1.2 Knowledge management and communication platform and products

The project will develop knowledge and communication products, and host webinars/side-events, to disseminate and scale up the project's technical and policy products at a regional level. The full Knowledge Management and Communications Plan can be found in Appendix 3 of the ProDoc and includes development of communication. Communication and knowledge products will be shared directly with key stakeholders via methods defined in the Stakeholder Engagement Plan, including target communities, governments, and partner organizations.

A key communication product will be the documentation of environmental benefits specific to seaweed production, including those related to coral reefs, marine life, other ecosystem services (breeding places and shelter to marine life), and carbon mitigation potential. This will be linked to the regular monitoring of the seaweed farms. The project will also focus on documenting and sharing gender perspectives, experiences, and lessons.

Outcome 4.2. Monitoring and evaluation system in place

The Project Management Unit and project partners will follow an M&E plan to monitor and report on project progress, and identify any areas where adaptive management is needed. A bi-annual Progress Report, including tracking against the results framework and work plan.

Output 4.2.1. Monitoring and Evaluation reports (including project progress reports, midterm evaluation, terminal evaluation)

The PMU will follow an M&E plan (see Section 2.7) to monitor and report on project progress and identify any areas where adaptive management is required. Under this Output, the PMU will draft and deliver the following:

- A six-month Project Progress Report (PPR) and a 12-month PPR, which includes tracking against the results framework and work plan (and from which the PIR is generated and submitted to the GEF Secretariat);
- Annual Work Plan and Budget (AWP&B) with implementation targets;
- Quarterly Financial Report;
- Annual adaptive management meeting to review project results and discuss any necessary adjustments to the project strategy; and
- Closeout report.

A Mid-term and a Terminal Evaluation will be conducted by independent consultants. The results framework includes specific indicators and methods to reflect gender perspectives.

The detailed project strategy can be found in Section 2.2 of the Project Document. There have been several changes since PIF stage.

i. Under Component 1, the strategy has adjusted slightly. Rather than 'Support towards the International Seaweed Coalition Platform' and 'regional metric targets and guide for implementing best practices to reach targets,' SEAFDEC will support development of a Guide to Promoting a Sustainable Seaweed Industry in the SEA Region, and will support SEA Regional Principles for Responsible and Safe Seaweed Aquaculture, including toolkit for applying principles. A regional Seaweed Technical Working Group will be constituted to support this development and ensure regional buy-in and input.

- ii. Under Component 2, the project will no longer support an updated Seaweed Carrageenan Industry Roadmap for the Philippines this was undertaken through baseline initiatives while the project was under development. Instead, BFAR will support implementation of the Philippine Seaweed Industry Roadmap (2022-2026), which is pending approval by the Department of Agriculture, at the municipal level (targeting the two municipalities where the project will work). In addition, a new output was added for VN: An open source Information Management System (IMS) to facilitate national and provincial-level planning and management of the seaweed aquaculture sector.
- iii. Under Component 3, rather than four off-shore demonstration farms, the project will support six demonstration farms. Four demonstration farms will be offshore, and two farms will be in degraded former shrimp ponds and in adjacent shallow nearshore area. The output 'Proof of concept of a scalable seaweed carbon credit model' was deleted. There are baseline initiatives exploring whether this is a viable concept, and if deemed viable the project will work to connect to this work.

4) alignment with GEF focal area

The project is aligned with the GEF Focal Area on International Waters. It will directly support the following International Waters Focal Area objectives:

- <u>IW-1-1:</u> Strengthen blue economy opportunities through sustainable healthy coastal and marine ecosystems. The
 project will strengthen sustainable seaweed production and processing in Viet Nam and Philippines, which supports
 both national development strategies as well as healthy coastal and marine ecosystems. Regionally, the project will
 support knowledge sharing of seaweed as a blue economy opportunity through the regional executing agency.
- 2. Objective IW-1-3: Strengthen blue economy opportunities by addressing pollution caused by nutrient accumulation compounded by deoxygenation in marine environments. This project will work closely with the private sector, communities, and academic institutions to support innovative technologies for seaweed production and processing. Seaweed production will help address eutrophication from nutrient accumulation, acidification and deoxygenation in marine environments. Processing will include biorefinery technology (operated on-site or at the community level) that makes use of the entire biomass and reduces or does away with the use of alkali to extract the colloids), as well as the production of precursor products such as pellets for bio-films or higher-value product forms that are biodegradable.

The goal of the International Waters focal area is the promotion of collective management for transboundary water systems and subsequent implementation of the full range of policy, legal, and institutional reforms and investments contributing to sustainable use and maintenance of ecosystem services. The project will contribute to this goal by focusing on, among others, preventing degradation of the marine environment and combating ocean hypoxia. It will bring the lessons and experiences learned from the project (such as marine spatial planning, zoning and development of an integrated area management plan for seaweed sites that considers the competing users of the common resource, and evidence of farmed seaweed's capacity to mitigate deoxygenation and eutrophication) to SAP's knowledge-based action planning for the management of coastal habitats. The project's output, "Guide to promoting regional seaweed development in the SEA region" will contain approaches and best practices that can be most effectively implemented through regional cooperation. The Guide thus complements SAP's program for regional cooperation in the management of the marine and coastal environment of the South China Sea.

Outscaling the results of the Project to the Southeast Asian Region will be facilitated through the intergovernmental mechanisms of SEAFDEC and ASEAN and to other countries through Lloyds Register and the Safe Seaweed Coalition.

There has been no major change since PIF stage.

5) incremental/additional cost reasoning and expected contributions from the baseline, the GEFTF, LDCF, SCCF, and co-financing

The project will build off a baseline of private sector, government, academic, and NGO initiatives to strengthen and support scaling up of the seaweed value chain.

BASELINE

- Global initiatives various private sector actors are driving seaweed value chains. The Global Safe Seaweed Coalition is working with a range of stakeholders to establish standards (e.g. for food, environmental and workers' safety) to help standardize the seaweed sector.
- Regional initiatives SEAFDEC helps coordinate member states and facilitates information on various research programs and technologies (including for seaweed). The South China Sea SAP also provides an important baseline from which the project can build.
- National Philippines and Viet Nam have various initiatives to strengthen seaweed farming and have seaweed
 associations (or aquaculture associations with seaweed now prominent in their portfolio such as the Viet Nam
 Seaculture Association). The Project will build on the following initiatives:
 - Initial results of research and development to develop seed stock that are resistant to diseases and ongoing efforts to develop seed stock tolerant to higher sea temperature.
 - National efforts to further improve seaweed farming practices and promote adherence to (in the Philippines) national standards of good seaweed aquaculture practice and product (raw dried seaweed) quality.
 - Ongoing research and technology development in the Philippines on the production of bio-stimulants (fertilizer), bio-pharmaceuticals and other higher value products.
 - Technology development involving a foreign technology company and a Philippine university, and another local company aimed at producing pellets with a facility that can be operated at the community or site level, the pellets being raw materials for the manufacture of bio-plastic packaging and other products which can then, after use, be degraded and processed into fertilizer.
 - In Viet Nam, the expansion in the production and local demand of the food species, *Caulerpa lentillifera* is being promoted. It is now being cultured in degraded shrimp ponds. It has a high market value in domestic markets and a good prospect for export of processed product forms. The cropping cycle is also short i.e. around 30 days.

Other initiatives at the regional and national levels to which the Project will contribute includes:

- Regional and national climate change policies and action plans.
- Coastal resources management policies and projects on biodiversity conservation, mitigation of pollution of marine waters, and improved productivity of aquaculture and fisheries.
- Regional and national strategies to promote the sustainable development of the marine resources guided by the concept of Blue Economy.
- Marine biodiversity conservation or enhancement.

ALTERNATIVE SCENARIO

With GEF financing, the project will build on the above-described baseline to (1) accelerate seaweed farming in Philippines and Viet Nam (as well as regionally through knowledge sharing and eventually a broader-scoped technical cooperation), thereby supporting seaweed farmer livelihoods resilience and community development, (2) bring investment to biorefinery solutions for new and existing seaweed products. The biorefinery solutions will be localized (e.g. near seaweed farming communities), which will generate new sources of income and additional jobs. In addition, the new products will encourage greater uptake of seaweed biomass upstream; (3) promote better practices for seaweed farming and seaweed value chains through the Safe Seaweed Coalition and trainings/toolkits.

GEF finance allows the project to provide the technical assistance to governments and the seaweed industry sector in general to:

• Build an enabling environment for sustainable seaweed farming with inputs to the policy and practice of marine spatial planning, zoning, and seaweed culture area management.

• Boost the seaweed aquaculture development strategies with the range of knowledge to be generated and shared by the project from siting, site management, good aquaculture practices, post-harvest practices and technology, value addition, and development of value chains for seaweed products.

The environmental benefits to which the project will contribute are:

- Reduced pollution load in coastal waters by assimilation of nutrients, such as N and P.
- Reduced acidification and deoxygenation of marine waters
- Sustained coastal and marine ecosystems goods (i.e. coral reefs, marine life that constitute the various trophic levels) and services (such as provision of breeding places and shelter to marine life), and maintained capacity of natural systems to sequester carbon.
- Maintenance or improvement of the conditions for marine biodiversity
- Increased ecosystem resilience

Overall, the project will strengthen blue economy opportunities while also addressing pollution reduction. Seaweed captures nitrogen, phosphorus, and carbon which helps reverse or mitigate eutrophication, supporting local and global ocean health. In addition, the project will generate socio-economic benefits, including improved livelihoods and increased income from seaweed farming and processing.

A table showing the baseline, alternative scenario, and global environmental benefits is included in the ProDoc (Section 3.1)

There has been no major change since PIF stage.

6) global environmental benefits (GEFTF)

Increased seaweed farming – supported by zoning, plans, and investments– will yield numerous global environmental benefits including:

- Carbon, Nitrogen and Phosphorous capture, which helps prevent or reverse eutrophication
- Increased biodiversity due to healthier marine habitats (linked to above)

In addition, the project will contribute to:

- Orderly expansion and development of the seaweed industry marked by environmental and social responsibility.
- Biodegradable and recyclable (into bio-stimulant) packaging materials, which will help reduce plastic pollution.
- Reduce use of fossil-fuel based fertilizer
- Higher quality RDS and value added products expand the demand for seaweed. This has to be met by increased production through the expansion in production area, which increases and spreads the environmental benefits generated by seaweed farming.

The proposed project will contribute to following four GEF Core Indicators:

<u>Core Indicator 5: Area of marine habitat under improved practices to benefit biodiversity</u>: Seaweed contributes to nitrogen and phosphorus capture, thereby reducing pollution and hypoxia. By ensuring an enabling environment for seaweed farming regionally, and in the Philippines and Viet Nam specifically, and through the project demonstration farms, the project is expected to contribute to a significant amount of nutrient capture (1,878 metric tons of nitrogen, 35.8 metric tons of phosphorus) to reduce pollution and hypoxia in the Sulu Sea and South China Sea. This can reduce stressors on habitat for marine biodiversity. The project will bring 1,882 hectares of marine habitat under improved practices.

<u>Core Indicator 6: Greenhouse Gas Emissions Mitigated</u>: Seaweed absorbs a significant amount of carbon. While some of the absorbed CO2 may be released during the processing stage, studies show that 5-10% of the seaweed biomass will end up in deep-sea sedimentation before harvest, serving as a permanent carbon capture. Additionally, some of the seaweeds may serve to reduce biogas production from the animal husbandry sector, offsetting any CO2 rerelease. Overall, through seaweed production, the project will contribute to 290 metric tons of greenhouse gas mitigation.

<u>Core Indicator 7: Number of shared water ecosystems (fresh or marine) under new or improved cooperative</u> <u>management</u>: 2. The project will support national/local reforms, including regulatory frameworks, for seaweed value chains in Philippines and Viet Nam and the Sulu Sea and South China Sea. This work will be tied together at the regional level through the lead executing agency. Also towards this core indicator, the project will dedicate 1% of the budget to IW Learn activities, including creation of a website for a seaweed platform, participation in the biannual IW Conference, and twinning events. The project will produce experience and results notes under Component 4 to support knowledge sharing and scaling up of project results.

<u>Core Indicator 11: Number of direct beneficiaries disaggregated by gender as co-benefit of GEF investment</u>. Through strengthened seaweed value chains, including the establishment of medium-scale seaweed farms, the project will support income generation and livelihoods to local seaweed farmers in Philippines and Viet Nam. The majority of seaweed farmers are women, therefore it is expected that many of these beneficiaries will be women.

It is expected that the project will also provide training and tools that directly benefit government staff, communities, and private sector actors. Overall, the project will directly benefit 4,000 men and 11,000 women.

The core indicator targets have decreased since PIF stage. Sequestration rates have significantly reduced largely because planned area of pilot farms and areas expected to be under improved management is estimated to be a little over 2,000 hectares in all four sites. The expected expansion after the project of farms under improved management is 15,000 ha in Viet Nam and 25,000 in the Philippines. This is based on the national targets for production expansion in 2025 and 2030 for Viet Nam and regional and provincial plans (Zamboanga Peninsula and Palawan) in the Philippines. This 35,000 ha would absorb around 60,000 mt over a six year period from project termination to 2030.

7) innovativeness, sustainability and potential for scaling up

The project is **innovative** in several respects:

- In the context of the Philippines and Viet Nam and, more broadly of Southeast Asia, offshore commercial-scale farming has not been demonstrated for the seaweed species popularly grown in the region i.e the carageenophytes. The project will introduce and test the suitability of systems (and structures) for growing seaweed in an offshore or off-the-coast environment. It will produce a Production System Model or models, if there are more than one species, based on several successful crops. The Model will describe the biological and technical feasibilities, economic viability, and social acceptability of the farming system. In Viet Nam the project will support the expansion of the culture of the food species, Caulerpa lentillifera, in degraded shrimp ponds. Substituting seaweed for shrimp in degraded shrimp ponds would provide environmental, economic and social benefits: no mechanical aeration, no need for expensive shrimp post-larvae, no feeding with high protein feed whose main ingredient is fish, no usage of anti-microbials and chemicals, and the seaweed assimilates the dissolved nutrients in the culture water. Caulerpa has also a much shorter crop cycle (30 days compared to 90-180 days for shrimp) and, in Viet Nam as well as in the Philippines and Thailand, the domestic price for Caulerpa is high.
- Introducing and demonstrating as well as training local people (growers) on the application of a bio-refinery system that would make full use of the seaweed plant, produce precursor materials (i.e. pellets) for processing into biodegradable higher value products like bio-plastics, or produce a finished product such as fish feed with seaweed as one of the ingredients and a binder. This would be a new technology for seaweed farmers in the Philippines and Viet Nam and, in general, Southeast Asia.

• Developing investment models in the manufacture of higher value products and promoting them for prospective public-private or private financing is a new strategic approach to the development of the seaweed industries of most of Southeast Asia.

Sustainability will be accomplished through a number of approaches:

- Strengthened planning and enabling conditions, which will continue to guide the seaweed sector in both the Philippines and Viet Nam, as well as regionally. This includes:
 - Development of a 'Regional Guide for Promoting a Sustainable Seaweed Industry in SEA Region,' which SEAFDEC will continue to oversee and support past project close. The project will also support standards for good aquaculture practice and promote their adoption (and long-term application) by national standard authorities and industry groups through webinars and workshops.
 - In Viet Nam and the Philippines, D-Fish and BFAR, respectively will continue to oversee project-developed plans (e.g. National Seaweed Plan) and legal/policy measures.
 - In Viet Nam, the project will support the Provincial social and economic development plans by providing technical (information and lessons learned) inputs to the planning process.
- The project will develop a sustainability/exit strategy for supported seaweed farms and biorefinery solutions to ensure sustainability. This includes the following: For seaweed farms, the project will train seaweed farmers in any new technology and management requirements. During the project, the PMU will identify who will take over the seaweed farm(s) after project close this will likely be a cooperative or association that has received training through the project, and meets set criteria (fiduciary, social, etc). Business plans (including financials) will be supported. It is expected that the farms will be financially self-sufficient by the end of the project, and that farmers will continue to utilize the farm sites for seaweed production.
 - For biorefinery solutions, the project will consider 'likelihood of sustainability' as part of the selection criteria during execution. This should include consideration of operating and maintenance costs, and current and potential market. Biorefinery options will be selected through a participatory approach with communities to ensure buy-in, and trainings will be provided to develop their capacity. Community enterprises, cooperatives, and associations will be established and/or strengthened to ensure continued ownership. Finally, the project will work to link these products to market to ensure, where possible, a positive cash flow by the end of the project, and conditions to ensure continued use of the biorefinery solutions after project close.
- The project will provide trainings, workshops, and exchanges to support best practices, learning, and capacity at the local, national, and regional level. This includes trainings for seaweed farmers, establishing cooperatives where appropriate, and supporting existing cooperatives. If these trainings and outcomes are shown to be successful, it is expected that these practices will continue to be applied by seaweed farmers long-term.

A successful project outcome would catalyze **scaling up** of the project within the project countries and across Southeast Asia. Support to this will be through several key strategies:

- Once the project has proof of concept for the offshore seaweed farming, as well as biorefinery solutions, models
 and guidance documents will be developed and shared (under Component 4) to allow for application in other
 seascapes.
- In Viet Nam, the project will map seaweed zones in 10 provinces to be used for a national-level MSP but also
 provincial-level planning. While the project will only directly develop two provincial seaweed development plans (to
 guide pilot seaweed farms), the project will support the deployment of guidelines and trainings to upscale the
 planning process to the other seaweed-zoned provinces.

To support scaling up of project results, the project will rely on SEAFDECs membership and outreach through Component 1 and Component 4. The project will ensure a global reach through the Safe Seaweed Coalition, which will publish knowledge products as appropriate and allow reach to a global audience that may take up project results. A complement to this approach is engagement with national industry associations, donor and technical assistance agencies, NGOs, and academic and R&D institutions, which could apply project findings and scale up the pilots.

There have been no major changes since PIF stage.

1b. Project Map and Geo-Coordinates. See Annex E

2. Stakeholders

Please provide the Stakeholder Engagement Plan or equivalent assessment.

The PMU will be responsible for ensuring compliance with the GEF and WWF standards on Stakeholder Engagement, specifically the WWF <u>Standard on Stakeholder Engagement</u> and the associated <u>Procedures for Implementation of the Standard on Stakeholder Engagement</u>. A Stakeholder Engagement Plan has been developed for the Philippines, Viet Nam, and Regional level (3 stakeholder engagement plan's total).

Provide a summary on how stakeholders will be consulted in project execution, the means and timing of engagement, how information will be disseminated, and an explanation of any resource requirements throughout the project/program cycle to ensure proper and meaningful stakeholder engagement.

A Stakeholder Engagement Plan has been attached for Philippines, Viet Nam, and the Regional level. This includes the means and timing of engagement, as well as how information will be disseminated. The project has budgeted for consultations, workshops, and travel costs to ensure proper stakeholder engagement throughout the life of the project. A Safeguards and Gender Officer will be recruited to the National PMU (one in VN, one in PH) and will be responsible for ensuring implementation of the Stakeholder Engagement Plan, in line with safeguards and gender standards. SEAFDEC will be responsible for implementing the regional Stakeholder Engagement Plan, and oversight of the PH and VN National PMUs.

Select what role civil society will play in the project:

Consulted only;

Member of Advisory Body; contractor;

 \boxtimes Co-financier;

Member of project steering committee or equivalent decision-making body;

Executor or co-executor;

Other (Please explain)

3. Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment

Provide the gender analysis or equivalent socio-economic assessment.

A Gender Analysis and Gender Action Plan were conducted for the Philippines, Viet Nam, and Regional (3 Gender Analyses and Gender Action Plans total) to ensure gender mainstreaming throughout the project cycle. The Gender Analysis is an examination of gender, the differences between men and women, their access, control and use of resources and the implications for the project goals, objectives, outcomes and outputs. The gender analysis is the basis of the gender action plan, the main tool for the mainstreaming of gender in the project. The Gender Analysis and Gender Action Plan (for Philippines and Viet Nam) have been uploaded as part of the submission. The Gender Analysis and Gender Action Plan for the regional level can be found in Section 2.5 of the ProDoc.

Does the project expect to include any gender-responsive measures to address gender gaps or promote gender equality and women's empowerment? (yes \bigotimes /no \Box)

If possible, indicate in which results area(s) the project is expected to contribute to gender equality:

closing gender gaps in access to and control over natural resources;

improving women's participation and decision making; and or

generating socio-economic benefits or services for women.

4. Private Sector Engagement

The Project will stimulate private sector investment in the production of new seaweed products and participation in new seaweed product value chains, which will diversify income opportunities and enhance the livelihoods and resilience of communities engaged in seaweed production. It will identify investment opportunities for private sector and government and produce investment models for large scale offshore seaweed farming that can be operated by organized farmers (i.e. cooperative) or a private company, community-based bio-refinery technology operated by an association or cooperative of seaweed farmers, small-medium-scale community-based production of seaweed-based consumer products, and commercial scale processing of low-carbon and biodegradable high-value products. It will provide information and technical inputs to improve and strengthen the policy environment to enable the above initiatives and especially to give assurance that the investments are protected. Training will also be provided to the operation of a bio-refinery and processing of consumer products. A support system will be devised for the associations or cooperatives and the community based small scale enterprise to access and effectively utilize technical, information, and financial services.

The project has received a co-finance letter from Brabender and Tri Tin Company. Brabender may be selected as a partner to support bioplastic biorefinery solutions in Philippines under Output 3.1.2. Tri Tin Company will provide co-financing to support piloting of seaweed farms under Output 3.1.1.

5. Risks.

Project Risks

Risk	Risk Level	Project Mitigation
Local governments and local communities are not supportive of the Project	Low	Local governments have been engaged during project development. In the Philippines, a potential area estimated to be 300 hectares has been identified and scouted by the officers of the Northern Palawan Fishermen Cooperative. A biological, natural, and social risk assessment was carried out with the participation of provincial, municipal, community stakeholders. A SWOT analysis during one (on-line) meeting provides the basis for a project implementation strategy that would enhance their livelihood strategies and, just as important, does not disrupt community relations. In Viet Nam, MARD - D-Fish National Mariculture Strategy to 2030 seeks to empower the local governments for management of the coastal and offshore areas so that the implementation of the Strategy, which hews towards industrial scale aquaculture production units (on sites that will be leased) is guided by regulations which formulation benefit from a wider stakeholder consultation. The Project has also consulted with the local governments, local officers of national agencies (MARD, MONRE) and local leaders on ways that it can provide technical inputs to support the Strategy.
Project scale being industrial rather than small scale and being sited in offshore sites, could appear to local communities as irrelevant to their livelihood strategies and more oriented towards the	Medium	The Project has met (virtual mostly but also in-person) with the community stakeholders including the local government, NGOs, and other projects operating in the area to describe and explain the environmental, economic, and social benefits expected from the Project that will accrue to the communities. The common understanding was arrived that project activities should foster the sense of co-ownership of the project. The mechanisms by which they will be able to attain scale, such as a cooperative or a formal association (of producers), will rely on the formation or strengthening

interest of large		and professionalization of a cooperative or association. The cooperative should
enterprises.		have a significant role and not appear as a mere surrogate to other (outside)
		players. Appropriate support to the cooperative or association will be provided. It
		will be made clear that the project is a pilot and its positive results will eventually
		redound to the benefit of the cooperative, the community, the seaweed industry,
		and the environment.
		Viet Nam's Marine Fisheries Development Strategy to 2045 envisions the
		expansion of mariculture at an industrial scale. This makes it even more critical to
		carry out the risk mitigation strategies described above so that the communities
		and the organized groups in the project site/s will be supportive because they
		play a significant role in Project implementation and have a sense of co-
		ownership of the results.
Culturally inappropriate	Low	Working with local community facilitators, opinion leaders and organized farmer
project delivery will		and women groups, the project 1) will ensure appropriate community protocols
hamper relationships		are followed, does not debase local culture and traditions; and (2) be sensitive to
with and social		local issues that have the potential to turn into problems, and plan and carry out
acceptability by the		ways to resolve them before they escalate into a social conflict.
community of the project		
The social risk from	Medium/	With organized groups that are managed professionally, the probability of this
power asymmetry in the	Low	risk arising would be low. The project will engage and provide opportunities for
community by which the		meaningful participation of cooperatives/associations. Where these are not
members with more		existing, the project will encourage and provide appropriate assistance to the
power tend to		formal organization of farmers associations. It will strive to be transparent and
appropriate the major		fair in its engagements. It will ensure equitable benefit sharing under the social
roles in and benefits from		safeguards strategy.
Conflicts arise with other	Low	The Dreight has conculted with the Local Covernments (in DU and in)(N) and the
connicts arise with other	LOW	Ine Project has consulted with the Local Governments (in PH and in VN) and the
resources particularly on		regulation that the site of the project does not obstruct pavigation does not
the location of the		nevent fishers from accessing fishing grounds. And that there will be an
demonstration farm once		assurance of security to the Project demonstration farm. An area management
established.		plan that comprises good management practices and safety standards for the
		identified seaweed farm site will avoid negative impacts of the farm operation on
		the environment and thus to other users of the same marine landscape.
Natural risks spawned by	Medium to	The project will use cultivars that are tolerant to temperature fluctuations,
climate change such as	High	especially higher water temperature. Providers of quality planting materials –
rising sea temperature,		science and technology institutions have been engaged. Timing of crops will be
adverse weather events		informed by local knowledge and long-term forecasts to avoid the months when
(typhoons, monsoons)		typhoons or monsoons occur. The Project will employ a culture system and use
will disrupt project		structures that provide protection to the crop from strong winds and rough seas.
operations.		Sites will also be located in areas that are reasonably sheltered from strong winds
		and currents.
Biological risks such as	Medium	Sites are being selected that pose minimal risks from these factors; deeper
pests, grazers and		offshore waters are generally less prone to epiphyte and endophyte infestation.
predators, endo- and		Disease-resistant planting materials will be sourced and used for the
epiphytes, and diseases		demonstration crop (as mentioned above, providers of these quality materials
the demonstration cross		liave deeli eligageu).
Risk from the	Medium to	The Green island site is a nesting area of dugongs. And there is the risk of their
demonstration farm to	High	and the sea turtles' entanglement in the seaweed lines. In Zamboanga, the cage
protected marine life like		structures do not pose this risk. There is obviously no such risk with culture
dugongs and sea turtles		ponds. The field personnel will be instructed to regularly inspect the farm and
		free any dugong or sea turtle found entangled in the ropes.
Chemical risks such as	Medium	The sites selected are in areas that are not exposed to heavy runoffs from land-

pollution from agricultural and domestic runoffs, oil spills, unsuitable water parameters in growing area renders the area unsuitable for a seaweed		based activities and with sufficient chemical and dissolved oxygen content. Offshore and deeper waters are generally safe from industrial, agricultural, and domestic discharges, and well oxygenated. Consultations have also confirmed the sites are designated solely for seaweed farming and will be outside the navigation routes for fishing and transport vessels.
demonstration farm.		
Public health risk, particularly the Covid19 pandemic	High	Advisories on precautionary, exigency, and emergency measures by WHO and the government health authority will be heeded and complied with. These will be brought to the attention of all project personnel and anyone doing personal transaction with any project staff for the purpose of having a common understanding and as much as possible mutual agreement of the need for and benefits of compliance. This would mitigate the impact of the pandemic on project staff, the community and people whom the project staff meet personally in the course of project operations.

Climate Risks and Mitigations

Country	Climate Change Risk	Impacts on Communities and the	Mitigations
		Environment	
Dilland		Occess warming and ecces	The project will we glowith excelence
PH and	Coastal communities face climate	Ocean warming and ocean	ine project will work with academic
VIN	nazaros that include a rise in sea	acidification put seaweed, and	Institutions that are researching more
	surface temperatures.	surrounding coral reets, under	climate and disease resilient seaweed
		stress. warming ocean waters have	strains. Climate and disease resilient
		discose which deteriorates the	propagules will be explored for the
		disease, which deteriorates the	demonstration farms. The project will
		quality and yields of seaweed. Coral	also incorporate climate resilient
		bleaching, as well as warming water	training where applicable.
		temperatures, may result in less	
		life in the error. This could course a	
		life in the area. This could cause a	
		general decline in fish populations in	
		impact secured harvesting	
DU and		Impact seaweed naivesting.	
PH and	Coastal communities in the	Impacts recognized in the	Aspects of the project were designed
VIN	Philippines and viet Nam face an	Philippines include infrastructure	to compatitue of storms. For the project
	increase in frequency and severity	damage, loss of livelinoods and even	and sevenity of storms. For the project
	of storms and typnoons. In the off-	ioss of life. Typnoon Rai, which	site in Green Island, the site was
	season alone (around December),	Struck Philippines (including	selected because it is protected by
	in turb can accurrences of more	Palawan, one of the project areas) in	corais, which will help in dispersing
	then 70% (from 2012, 2020)	demose to buildings, groups, and left	strong waves during typnoons and
		admage to buildings, crops, and left	Protect the seaweed farm. In
	more destructive. Le Nião events	a million affected people displaced	will apply a robust design of soowood
	trigger a mara arratic provinitation	a minion affected people displaced.	form infrastructure as well as utilize
	nottern and correlate closely with a	74% of the country's population is	a contraction and the source of the source o
	rise in frequency of source stars	exposed to multiple climate threats	turbeens hit the region
	rise in frequency of severe storms	at any given time.' In viet wam,	typhoons nit the region.
	and climate events. The number of	tropical storms cause major damage	
	rainy days and associated storms in	to property and infrastructure, as	

	the Philippines has increased overall since the 1990s. ⁶	well as costing lives.	
PH and VN	The Philippines and in Viet Nam, are experiencing significant sea level rise.	Sea level rise is a threat to coastal communities and is expected to result in thousands of climate refugees. The increase in natural disasters and the rising sea level also cause damage to the reef systems and coastal mangroves, having detrimental effects on coastal communities and their associated economic stability.	The project may explore insurance protection against loss of crops and/or infrastructure in the face of extreme climate related disasters.
VN	Severe drought is a major climate risk that communities face in the project area. The frequency and intensity of dry spells causing droughts have increased under the influence of the El Niño effect. One of the project sites, Ninh Thuan, is one of the hottest and most drought-ridden areas in the country. The province has the lowest average rainfall in the country (about 750 mm per year) and is also the hottest province of Vietnam, with an average temperature of about 27 degrees C. ⁸	Particularly in Ninh Thuan (although it has been noted as an issue in Khanh Hoa as well), severe drought poses risks to farmer communities, where impacts on water resources and also on the electrical grid may disrupt supply chains and/or operations. In the case of drought, the availability of water resources is limited (this is a particular issue for the inland earthen caulerpa ponds in Khanh Hoa).	The project will consider the impacts that drought has on communities in the areas that are most susceptible during project implementation.

Note: Climate risks were identified using the WWF Climate Risk Screening tool. The Screen was conducted for both Philippines and Viet Nam, and is uploaded with the submission.

COVID-19 Risk Analysis

Risk category	Potential Risk	Mitigations and Plans
Risk of the ongoing	COVID-19 delays may	Project start-up and implementation may be delayed due to
COVID-19 pandemic	impact timelines for project	COVID-19. Adaptive adjustments will be considered in annual
affect project	implementation.	workplans to mitigate such delays.
implementation		
		The project will utilize remote working tools to support and engage
		with partners and stakeholders where needed (including for
		regional workshops and trainings, etc).
Stakeholder engagement	COVID-19 restrictions may	Consultations will only be undertaken in compliance with national
process	limit effective engagement	and local guidelines, and with COVID-19 precautions in place. This
	with stakeholders –	may involve, for example, small group sizes, the use of testing, and
	particularly local	PPE.
	communities (as a result of,	

⁷ GFDRR Country Profile for the Philippines

⁶ Folland, C.K., J.A. Renwick, M.J. Salinger, N. Jiang, and N.A. Rayner, 2003: Trends and variations in South Pacific Islands and ocean surface temperatures. Journal of Climate., 16, 2859-2874 and Folland, C.K., J.A. Renwick, M.J. Salinger, and A.B. Mullan, 2002: Relative influences of the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation and ENSO on the South Pacific Convergence. Zone. Geophysical Research Letters, 29, 21-1-21-4

⁸ Tuan, N. and Canh, T. (2021) Analysis of Trends in Drought with the Non-Parametric Approach in Vietnam: A Case Study in Ninh Thuan Province. American Journal of Climate Change, 10, 51-84. doi: <u>10.4236/ajcc.2021.101004</u>.

	for example, travel restrictions)	The PMU and N-PMU's will develop guidance on COVID protocols. In all cases, continued attention will be given to ensuring the voices of IP, women, youth, and any underrepresented community members.
Livelihood/ economic challenges	COVID-19 impacts may lead to increased livelihood/economic challenges and isolation of the communities.	The project will support seaweed value chains, which will strengthen livelihoods in the project areas. The strategy includes specific provisions around linking to markets to.

COVID-19 Opportunity Analysis

Opportunity Category	Plan
Reduce the vulnerability of affected	The project will support more secure and sustainable livelihoods and enhanced
communities within the project	livelihood assets for the target communities. Improved incomes will come through
landscape	the production of more and better-quality biomass, production and sale of
	traditional product forms, and participation in the production and sale of higher
	value products. Social co-benefits are in the form of a secure livelihood, more
	employment generated by the production of and trade in traditional and new
	consumer products, improved food security.
Protect and restore natural systems and	Seaweed has numerous environmental benefits for the marine environments,
their ecological functionality	including carbon capture and assimilation of excess nutrients from coastal waters,
	which is associated with mitigation of ocean eutrophication and acidification as
	Well as improvement of habitat for marine life.
	Marine spatial planning will ensure seawood farms are placed in a socially and
	ecologically sustainable way
Include a focus on production	The project will support safe and sustainable seaweed farming. The safeguards
landscapes and land-use practices	documents have mitigations in place for any human/nature conflicts
within them to decrease the risk of	
human/nature conflicts	
Promote circular solutions to reduce	The project may support a bioplastic refinery solution in the Philippines under
unsustainable resource extraction and	Output 3.1.2 (based on selection criteria during implementation). Coast4C will
environmental degradation?	support an initiative to recycle end-of-life fishing nets to reduce plastic pollution
	and provide additional income to the communities. Finally, plastic alternatives for
	the seaweed farms will be explored.

6. Institutional Arrangement and Coordination.

The institutional arrangement for project implementation includes WWF as the GEF Agency, the Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center (SEAFDEC) as the Lead Regional Executing Agency (its Secretariat in Bangkok, Thailand will host the Project Management Unit), the Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources (BFAR) of the Philippines and Directorate of Fisheries (D-fish) of Viet Nam as the project executing partners in the Philippines and Viet Nam, respectively, and a Project Steering Committee.

LEAD EXECUTING AGENCY

SEAFDEC is the Lead Executing Agency for the project. It will be responsible for overseeing the implementation of project activities. SEAFDEC will provide the regional leadership for the project. As an intergovernmental body with 11 member states, its regional mandate assures the incorporation of project results into its regional development programme and outscaling of the relevant aspects of the pilot projects. It will be the instrument for region-wide outscaling, sharing information, lessons and expertise, and promoting the adoption of SEA regional safety Principles and a Regional Guide to Promote a Sustainable Seaweed Aquaculture Industry.

PROJECT MANAGEMENT UNIT

As part of its responsibilities, SEAFDEC will host a Project Management Unit (PMU) at headquarters (Bangkok, Thailand). The PMU will be comprised of the following members. All will be recruited by SEAFDEC.

- The Project Manager/Technical Advisor will have overall responsibility for the implementation of the Project and specific responsibility for the execution of Components 1 and 4. The Project Manager/Technical Advisor will coordinate with the Managers of the Philippines and Viet Nam National Project Management Units (N-PMU). He/she is responsible for completing project progress reports, annual results framework and workplan reporting, and collaborative development of the annual work plan and budget.
- The Finance and Administrative Officer reports to the PM/Technical Advisor and is responsible for overall financial oversight of the project, including tracking the budget, facilitating financial transactions, and preparing and delivering the quarterly financial reports.
- A Technical Specialist (TS) will be posted in the Aquaculture Department (AQD) and will provide technical inputs and assistance to Component 1 activities.

NATIONAL PROJECT EXECUTING AGENCIES

The national partners responsible for project implementation in the Philippines and Viet Nam are BFAR and D-Fish, respectively. BFAR and D-fish are responsible for national-level project management and delivery of Component 2 and 3 (with contributions to Component 4); they will report to SEAFDEC.

BFAR and D-Fish will establish a national-level project management unit. (N-PMU) responsible for project management and execution of the activities in indicated in the Strategy and the Project Results Framework.

<u>BFAR will recruit the following positions to the N-PMU</u>: Project Manager, Project Development Officer, an Administration and Finance Officer, a Safeguards and Gender Specialist, and a project assistant. The BFAR N-PMU will subgrant to Coast4C for activities under Component 2 and Component 3.

<u>D-fish will recruit the following positions to the N-PMU</u>: a Project Manager, 2 project technical staff (one focused on Component 2/policy work, one focused on Component 3/seaweed value chains), a finance-admin officer, and a Safeguards/Gender/M&E Specialist. In Viet Nam, the N-PMU will work with Tri Tin company for pilot testing Caulerpa culture in nearshore waters, a potential expansion area for small producers.

BFAR will constitute a National Project Coordinating Committee to provide strategic direction to the project and ensure cross sector coordination. The members shall be high level officers engaged in program management and/or policy making at the agency and sectoral levels and, from the academic sector, in research and development on marine science.

In addition, two local coordinating groups, each one for Palawan and Zamboanga, will be organized by BFAR. These "on the ground" coordinating committees will be composed of local officials, environmental officers and seaweed association leaders knowledgeable on the seaweed industry. They will carry out joint local stakeholders planning in line with Project objectives and strategies, coordinate the participation and input of local agencies related to the project plans and activities, promote local stakeholders' understanding of the Project objectives, strategies and outputs, and ensure local stakeholders' active and equitable representation in the Project plans and activities.

D-Fish will form a multi-sectoral Expert Working Group (EWG) to review Viet Nam's National Seaweed Plan (NSP) and support stakeholder consultation and input from a diversity of public and private actors. Relevant Ministries and Departments will nominate their representatives in the EWG.

PROJECT STEERING COMMITTEE

A Project Steering Committee (PSC) will be formed to serve as the oversight, advisory, and support body for the project. The PSC will consist of a representative from the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (VN), Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (VN), Department of Agriculture (PH), Department of Natural Resources and Environment (PH),

Seaweed Industry Association of the Philippines, and SEAFDEC. A representative from WWF-PH and WWF-VN and a member of the WWF GEF Agency team will hold an "observer status" in the Project Steering Committee.

WWF GEF AGENCY

WWF-US, through its WWF GEF Agency will: (i) provide consistent and regular project oversight to ensure the achievement of project objectives; (ii) liaise between the project and the GEF Secretariat; (iii) report on project progress to GEF Secretariat (annual Project Implementation Report); (iv) ensure that both GEF and WWF policy requirements and standards are applied and met (i.e. reporting obligations, technical, fiduciary, M&E); (v) approve annual workplan and budget; (vi) approve budget revisions, certify fund availability and transfer funds; (vii) organize the terminal evaluation and review project audits; (viii) certify project operational and financial completion, and (ix) provide no-objection to key terms of reference for project management unit.

Coordination with other relevant GEF Projects

The project will coordinate with the following GEF-financed projects:

- i. Implementing the Strategic Action Program for the South China Sea and Gulf of Thailand (UNEP; 2017-2023; GEF financing: \$15 million). UNOPS and SEAFDEC are executing this project in China, Cambodia, Indonesia, Philippines, Thailand, Viet Nam. Its objective is "to assist countries in meeting the targets of the approved Strategic Action Programme (SAP) for the marine and coastal environment of the South China Sea (SCS) through implementation of the National Action Plans in support of the SAP, and strengthening regional co-ordination for SCS SAP implementation." The Inter-Ministry Committee (IMC) includes representatives from each of the countries. The project aligns well with the South China Sea SAP, which clearly identifies unsustainable aquaculture as a key threat to the SCS region (for example, on mangroves and seagrass, as well as coastal pollution).
- ii. Build on action plans of the <u>Sulu–Sulawesi Marine Ecoregion</u>, which includes the Philippines, Indonesia, and Malaysia. Outscaling the results of the project to this ecoregion would contribute to the marine biodiversity objectives of the SSME Conservation Plan.
- iii. <u>Towards Sustainable and Conversion-Free Aquaculture in Indonesian Seas Large Marine Ecosystem (ISLME)</u> (ADB; GEF ID: 10867; GEF financing: \$4,449,542). This has two sub-projects: Shrimp in Indonesia and Seaweed in Timor Leste. The seaweed project is focused on "increasing Post-harvest Capabilities to Enhance Seaweed Aquaculture Livelihoods in Timor-Leste." The proposed project expects to apply the lessons from the Policy and Regulatory gap framework analysis undertaken by the Blue Horizon Project to generate policy recommendations including zoning, mooring, prevention of marine mammal entanglements, carrying capacity, etc. The projects will coordinate on international market engagement. The Blue Horizon Project can strengthen its own post-harvest and marketing aspects through a cross-learning arrangement with the Timor Leste sub-project, which advocates a market-oriented strategy and seeks to identify ways to amplify ecosystem services of seaweed aquaculture by generating better marketing conditions.
- iv. <u>Coral Reef Rescue (CRR)</u> (WWF; GEF ID: 10575; GEF financing: \$7,000,000). This GEF project contributes to the Global Coral Reef Rescue Initiative (CRRI), a global multistakeholder partnership aimed at protecting the health of coral reef ecosystems in the face of climate threats. CRRI focuses on coral reefs identified through a global analysis as having substantially lower exposure to climate change stress due to local oceanographic conditions such as currents and upwelling. Areas prioritized for site-based action under the proposed CRR GEF Project include 8 municipalities in Palawan. These are near the Blue Horizon Project site on Green Island in Roxas town. The Project's activities on marine spatial planning in Roxas could contribute to the CRR project by incorporating coral reef considerations and ensuring that seaweeds are placed in an ecologically friendly way (e.g. not intruding on coral reefs).

7. Consistency with National Priorities

This project is consistent, and provides support to, national seaweed development strategies and plans in Viet Nam and Philippines. In <u>Viet Nam</u>, the project provides direct support to the country's Marine Aquaculture Strategy, which targets 400,000 MT of seaweed production by 2030, of which 100,000 MT will come from offshore operations. In the <u>Philippines</u>, the project contributes to development of the seaweed sector, a goal of the DA-BFAR Fisheries Roadmap. Seaweed aquaculture also contributes to the Sustainable Development Goals that both Viet Nam and Philippines have committed to, especially the environment, biodiversity, and climate targets.⁹ At the <u>regional</u> level, the project is consistent with the implementation of the South China Sea Strategic Action Programme, of which Viet Nam and the Philippines are signatories.

The project is consistent with the following national and regional strategies, plans, reports, and assessments under relevant conventions:

Country	Title	Relevance to Project		
PH	Philippine Action Plan for Sustainable Consumption and Production ¹⁰ (Aligned with SDGs)	The Philippine Action Plan for SCP (PAP4SCP) is a guiding framework to influence and steer sustainable behavior and practices across sectors and levels of government by implementing policy reforms and set of actions over the period 2020-2040. Its goal is to increase the nation's uptake of green products and services. The Project can contribute to the Action Plan's sub-outcome of having national resources efficiently used and equitably allocated. It has a direct contribution to the intermediate outcome of increasing innovations and investments in green technologies and systems.		
PH	National Adaptation Plan (NAP) ¹¹	Outlines a long-term program and strategies for adaptation and mitigation. In 2010, the Government of the Philippines released its National Framework Strategy on Climate Change (NFSCC). The framework stresses a balance between adaptation and mitigation, and laid the groundwork for the development of the National Climate Change Action Plan (NCCAP) for 2011–2028. It has seven priority sectors for adaptation. Two of these are ecosystem and environmental stability and knowledge and capacity development.		
PH	DA-BFAR Fisheries Roadmaps	BFAR's seaweed industry roadmap (2016-2022) includes 3 components: (1) Improved/increased production; (2) organized seaweed farmers; and (3) promotion/commercialization of seaweed products.		
РН	"Seaweed Industry Roadmap" of the Seaweed Cooperatives	The Seaweed Industry Roadmap 2016-2020 (recently updated for the period 2022- 2026) focused on two major red seaweeds species, namely, Eucheuma and Kappaphycus. The roadmap was spearheaded (and updated) by BFAR; it is among the agriculture sector roadmaps of the Department of Agriculture. Three programs that have been implemented are training for farmers in good farming practices and production of climate-resilient species, training of seaweed farmers in entrepreneurial skills, and promoting community-based product champions. An important objective is to reduce the importation of raw dried seaweed for local processing.		
РН	National Science and Technology Plan for Seaweed ¹²	Includes milestones/targets for the decade, including interventions on research and development, promotion of technology transfer, policy, and capacity building.		
VN	National Action Plan for The Implementation of the 2030 Sustainable Development Agenda ¹³	Viet Nam's commitment to the following sustainable development goals (2030) are relevant to this project: Eliminate hunger, ensure food security, improve nutrition, and promote sustainable agricultural development; Achieve gender equality; Ensure sustainable, comprehensive, and continuous economic growth; Ensure sustainable production and consumption; Sustainably conserve and utilize the ocean, the sea,		

⁹ <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/s41893-021-00773-9?proof=t</u>

¹⁰<u>https://sdg.neda.gov.ph/philippine-action-plan-for-sustainable-consumption-and-production-</u>

pap4scp/#:~:text=The%20Philippine%20Action%20Plan%20for,term%20(2030%2D2040).

¹¹ https://napglobalnetwork.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/Philippines-NAP-process-country-poster-Feb2018.pdf

¹² <u>http://www.pcaarrd.dost.gov.ph/home/isp/images/matrices/seaweeds.pdf</u>

¹³https://Vietnam.un.org/sites/default/files/2020-08/ke%20hoach%20hanh%20dong%20quoc%20gia_04-07-ENG_CHXHCNVN.pdf

		and marine resources for sustainable development	
VN	Marine economic	Viet Nam adopted a marine economic development strategy to 2030, with a vision	
	development strategy to	to 2045 on 11 March 2021. This strategy gives a strong emphasis on sustainable	
	2030, with a vision to 2045	aquaculture with an orientation towards industrial scale production. The strategy	
		draws its conceptual guide from the Blue Economy. The Strategy's development	
		orientation for the aquaculture subsector relevant to the Project includes:	
		• Develop effective farming of aquatic species of certain economic value,	
	(Marine Aquaculture Strategy)	associated with ecological environment protection, proactively adapting to	
		climate change.	
		• Develop marine aquaculture into a commodity production sector,	
		encouraging the development of industrial-scale aquaculture in open sea	
		zones; creating a large volume of products for export processing and	
		domestic consumption.	
		• Develop farming of microalgae and seaweeds to serve food needs and	
		supply raw materials for other economic sectors (e.g. cosmetics,	
		pharmaceuticals). Cultivating ornamental and recreational aquatic	
		creatures and those used as handicrafts and pharmaceuticals to meet the	
		needs of domestic and foreign consumer markets.	
		• Encourage the development of aquaculture models that apply new and	
		advanced technologies, reduce production costs, are environmentally	
		friendly, and adapt to climate change; organic and ecological farming	
		models, applying certification standards for good aquaculture practices	
		(GAP) for value enhancement and sustainable development.	
		The strategy targets 400,000 MT of seaweed production by 2030, of which 100,000	
		MT will come from offshore operations.	
Regional	ASEAN Joint Response to	An ASEAN Action Plan on Joint Response to Climate Change was adopted by the	
	Climate Change ¹⁴	12th ASEAN Ministerial Meeting on Environment held on 26 September 2012, to	
		implement the ASEAN Leaders' Statement on Joint Response to Climate Change	
		issued at the 16th ASEAN Summit in 2010. The Project contributes to the mitigation	
		of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems as well as to community resilience	
Decional	ASEAN/s Diversist for the	of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems as well as to community resilience to climate change risks.	
Regional	ASEAN's Blueprint for the	of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems as well as to community resilience to climate change risks. ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC Blueprint) 2025	
Regional	ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural	of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems as well as to community resilience to climate change risks. ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC Blueprint) 2025 serves as the guiding mandate of the ASEAN Working Group on Coastal and Marine Environment. The Group sime to opeuro that ASEAN's coastal and marine	
Regional	ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community - Cooperation on	of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems as well as to community resilience to climate change risks. ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC Blueprint) 2025 serves as the guiding mandate of the ASEAN Working Group on Coastal and Marine Environment. The Group aims to ensure that ASEAN's coastal and marine	
Regional	ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community - Cooperation on Coastal and Marine Environment ¹⁵	of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems as well as to community resilience to climate change risks. ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC Blueprint) 2025 serves as the guiding mandate of the ASEAN Working Group on Coastal and Marine Environment. The Group aims to ensure that ASEAN's coastal and marine environment are sustainably managed; representative ecosystems, pristine areas and species marine environment instilled Among its 7 priority programmes to	
Regional	ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community - Cooperation on Coastal and Marine Environment ¹⁵	ASEAN'S Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC Blueprint) 2025 serves as the guiding mandate of the ASEAN Working Group on Coastal and Marine Environment. The Group aims to ensure that ASEAN's coastal and marine environment are sustainably managed; representative ecosystems, pristine areas and species marine environment instilled. Among its 7 priority programmes to which the Project has direct contribution are: Coastal and Marine Pollution	
Regional	ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community - Cooperation on Coastal and Marine Environment ¹⁵	of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems as well as to community resilience to climate change risks. ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC Blueprint) 2025 serves as the guiding mandate of the ASEAN Working Group on Coastal and Marine Environment. The Group aims to ensure that ASEAN's coastal and marine environment are sustainably managed; representative ecosystems, pristine areas and species marine environment instilled. Among its 7 priority programmes to which the Project has direct contribution are: Coastal and Marine Pollution Mitigation (nutrients, marine debris, eutrophication, etc.): Climate Change Issues	
Regional	ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community - Cooperation on Coastal and Marine Environment ¹⁵	of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems as well as to community resilience to climate change risks. ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC Blueprint) 2025 serves as the guiding mandate of the ASEAN Working Group on Coastal and Marine Environment. The Group aims to ensure that ASEAN's coastal and marine environment are sustainably managed; representative ecosystems, pristine areas and species marine environment instilled. Among its 7 priority programmes to which the Project has direct contribution are: Coastal and Marine Pollution Mitigation (nutrients, marine debris, eutrophication etc.); Climate Change Issues and Impacts in Coastal Areas: and Integrated Coastal Management (ICM) and	
Regional	ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community - Cooperation on Coastal and Marine Environment ¹⁵	 Issued at the 16th ASEAN Summit in 2010. The Project contributes to the mitigation of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems as well as to community resilience to climate change risks. ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC Blueprint) 2025 serves as the guiding mandate of the ASEAN Working Group on Coastal and Marine Environment. The Group aims to ensure that ASEAN's coastal and marine environment are sustainably managed; representative ecosystems, pristine areas and species marine environment instilled. Among its 7 priority programmes to which the Project has direct contribution are: Coastal and Marine Pollution Mitigation (nutrients, marine debris, eutrophication etc.); Climate Change Issues and Impacts in Coastal Areas; and Integrated Coastal Management (ICM) and Marine Spatial Planning (MSP) 	
Regional	ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community - Cooperation on Coastal and Marine Environment ¹⁵	 Issued at the 16th ASEAN Summit in 2010. The Project contributes to the mitigation of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems as well as to community resilience to climate change risks. ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC Blueprint) 2025 serves as the guiding mandate of the ASEAN Working Group on Coastal and Marine Environment. The Group aims to ensure that ASEAN's coastal and marine environment are sustainably managed; representative ecosystems, pristine areas and species marine environment instilled. Among its 7 priority programmes to which the Project has direct contribution are: Coastal and Marine Pollution Mitigation (nutrients, marine debris, eutrophication etc.); Climate Change Issues and Impacts in Coastal Areas; and Integrated Coastal Management (ICM) and Marine Spatial Planning (MSP) The Strategic Action Program for South China Sea and the Gulf of Thailand 	
Regional	ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community - Cooperation on Coastal and Marine Environment ¹⁵ Strategic Action Program for South China Sea and the Gulf	 Issued at the 16th ASEAN Summit in 2010. The Project contributes to the mitigation of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems as well as to community resilience to climate change risks. ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC Blueprint) 2025 serves as the guiding mandate of the ASEAN Working Group on Coastal and Marine Environment. The Group aims to ensure that ASEAN's coastal and marine environment are sustainably managed; representative ecosystems, pristine areas and species marine environment instilled. Among its 7 priority programmes to which the Project has direct contribution are: Coastal and Marine Pollution Mitigation (nutrients, marine debris, eutrophication etc.); Climate Change Issues and Impacts in Coastal Areas; and Integrated Coastal Management (ICM) and Marine Spatial Planning (MSP) The Strategic Action Program for South China Sea and the Gulf of Thailand (implemented by UNEP and GEF) aims to assist countries in meeting the targets of 	
Regional	ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community - Cooperation on Coastal and Marine Environment ¹⁵ Strategic Action Program for South China Sea and the Gulf of Thailand ¹⁶	 Issued at the 16th ASEAN Summit in 2010. The Project contributes to the mitigation of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems as well as to community resilience to climate change risks. ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC Blueprint) 2025 serves as the guiding mandate of the ASEAN Working Group on Coastal and Marine Environment. The Group aims to ensure that ASEAN's coastal and marine environment are sustainably managed; representative ecosystems, pristine areas and species marine environment instilled. Among its 7 priority programmes to which the Project has direct contribution are: Coastal and Marine Pollution Mitigation (nutrients, marine debris, eutrophication etc.); Climate Change Issues and Impacts in Coastal Areas; and Integrated Coastal Management (ICM) and Marine Spatial Planning (MSP) The Strategic Action Program for South China Sea and the Gulf of Thailand (implemented by UNEP and GEF) aims to assist countries in meeting the targets of the coastal and marine environment components of the Strategic Action 	
Regional	ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community - Cooperation on Coastal and Marine Environment ¹⁵ Strategic Action Program for South China Sea and the Gulf of Thailand ¹⁶	 Issued at the 16th ASEAN Summit in 2010. The Project contributes to the mitigation of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems as well as to community resilience to climate change risks. ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC Blueprint) 2025 serves as the guiding mandate of the ASEAN Working Group on Coastal and Marine Environment. The Group aims to ensure that ASEAN's coastal and marine environment are sustainably managed; representative ecosystems, pristine areas and species marine environment instilled. Among its 7 priority programmes to which the Project has direct contribution are: Coastal and Marine Pollution Mitigation (nutrients, marine debris, eutrophication etc.); Climate Change Issues and Impacts in Coastal Areas; and Integrated Coastal Management (ICM) and Marine Spatial Planning (MSP) The Strategic Action Program for South China Sea and the Gulf of Thailand (implemented by UNEP and GEF) aims to assist countries in meeting the targets of the coastal and marine environment components of the Strategic Action Programme for the South China Sea through implementation of the National Action 	
Regional	ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community - Cooperation on Coastal and Marine Environment ¹⁵ Strategic Action Program for South China Sea and the Gulf of Thailand ¹⁶	 Issued at the 16th ASEAN Summit in 2010. The Project contributes to the mitigation of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems as well as to community resilience to climate change risks. ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC Blueprint) 2025 serves as the guiding mandate of the ASEAN Working Group on Coastal and Marine Environment. The Group aims to ensure that ASEAN's coastal and marine environment are sustainably managed; representative ecosystems, pristine areas and species marine environment instilled. Among its 7 priority programmes to which the Project has direct contribution are: Coastal and Marine Pollution Mitigation (nutrients, marine debris, eutrophication etc.); Climate Change Issues and Impacts in Coastal Areas; and Integrated Coastal Management (ICM) and Marine Spatial Planning (MSP) The Strategic Action Program for South China Sea and the Gulf of Thailand (implemented by UNEP and GEF) aims to assist countries in meeting the targets of the coastal and marine environment components of the Strategic Action Programme for the South China Sea through implementation of the National Action Plans. The Project will have a direct contribution to Component 1: Reducing habitat 	
Regional	ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community - Cooperation on Coastal and Marine Environment ¹⁵ Strategic Action Program for South China Sea and the Gulf of Thailand ¹⁶	 Issued at the 16th ASEAN Summit in 2010. The Project contributes to the mitigation of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems as well as to community resilience to climate change risks. ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC Blueprint) 2025 serves as the guiding mandate of the ASEAN Working Group on Coastal and Marine Environment. The Group aims to ensure that ASEAN's coastal and marine environment are sustainably managed; representative ecosystems, pristine areas and species marine environment instilled. Among its 7 priority programmes to which the Project has direct contribution are: Coastal and Marine Pollution Mitigation (nutrients, marine debris, eutrophication etc.); Climate Change Issues and Impacts in Coastal Areas; and Integrated Coastal Management (ICM) and Marine Spatial Planning (MSP) The Strategic Action Program for South China Sea and the Gulf of Thailand (implemented by UNEP and GEF) aims to assist countries in meeting the targets of the coastal and marine environment components of the Strategic Action Programme for the South China Sea through implementation of the National Action Plans. The Project will have a direct contribution to Component 1: Reducing habitat degradation and loss via national and local reforms to achieve Strategic Action 	
Regional	ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community - Cooperation on Coastal and Marine Environment ¹⁵ Strategic Action Program for South China Sea and the Gulf of Thailand ¹⁶	 Issued at the 16th ASEAN summit in 2010. The Project contributes to the mitigation of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems as well as to community resilience to climate change risks. ASEAN's Blueprint for the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC Blueprint) 2025 serves as the guiding mandate of the ASEAN Working Group on Coastal and Marine Environment. The Group aims to ensure that ASEAN's coastal and marine environment are sustainably managed; representative ecosystems, pristine areas and species marine environment instilled. Among its 7 priority programmes to which the Project has direct contribution are: Coastal and Marine Pollution Mitigation (nutrients, marine debris, eutrophication etc.); Climate Change Issues and Impacts in Coastal Areas; and Integrated Coastal Management (ICM) and Marine Spatial Planning (MSP) The Strategic Action Program for South China Sea and the Gulf of Thailand (implemented by UNEP and GEF) aims to assist countries in meeting the targets of the coastal and marine environment components of the Strategic Action Programme for the South China Sea through implementation of the National Action Plans. The Project will have a direct contribution to Component 1: Reducing habitat degradation and loss via national and local reforms to achieve Strategic Action 	

 ¹⁴<u>https://environment.asean.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/ANNEX-8-Lead-Countries-for-ASEAN-Action-Plan-on-Joint-Response-to-Climate-Change-27-March-2013.pdf</u>
 ¹⁵ ASEAN Cooperation on Environment. <u>https://environment.asean.org/awgcme/</u>

¹⁶ <u>https://scssap.org/about-us/scs-sap-implementation-project</u>

8. Knowledge Management.

The project's knowledge management and communications strategy will ensure the knowledge products as well as the lessons, methods and tools related to their development are recorded, stored and shared with relevant stakeholders and users. They will also be disseminated to other sets of audiences that would have interest in the information. The communication strategy will include a mechanism for receiving feedback. Knowledge management and communication is tracked and budgeted under Output 4.2.1.

Lessons learned and best practices were assessed and documented during the PPG stage (see Section 3.8 in the Project Document, and Appendix 7).

Monitoring and evaluation reports

The PMU will follow an M&E plan to monitor and report on project progress and identify any areas where adaptive management is required (see M&E section). Under Output 4.2.1, the PMU will produce a semi-annual Project Progress Report, which includes annual tracking against the results framework and work plan. A Project Implementation Report will be generated yearly by the WWF GEF Agency and submitted to the GEF Secretariat. An Annual Adaptive Management meeting will be carried out to review project results and discuss any necessary adjustments to the project strategy. A Midterm Evaluation will be conducted, and its report used as a basis also for determining adjustments in project strategy. A Reflection meeting will be organized before the project terminates to discuss exit and sustainability strategies. Finally, the Terminal Evaluation will be conducted and its report made publicly available by the PMU and the WWF GEF Agency.

Lessons learned, best practices, and challenges and how they were addressed will be captured from the national project implementation reports, training activities and workshops, and from stakeholders' statements at the annual Adaptive Management meetings. The knowledge developed will include lessons learned from the project that will incorporate feedback and experiences from the communities and other local stakeholders. An overview report of the project's achievements and the lessons and experiences from the processes that enabled their achievement will be written and disseminated widely.

Knowledge and Communication products

The PMU will develop and disseminate knowledge products that support the technical and policy-oriented outputs of the project. Among its knowledge sharing functions will be organizing regional workshops, seminars, exchanges, and participation in conferences and seminars. The Project will initiate, coordinate, undertake activities to produce the following knowledge and communication products:

Year 1

- Reports of the site assessments and site management plans
- Reports of the policy gap analyses.

Year 2

- Reports on the marine spatial planning, zoning and area management activities.
- Reports on the market studies of consumer products produced for the domestic markets
- Reports on the technical assessments of the pilot farms based on 3 crop cycles
- A prototype training manual for deepwater seaweed farming.
- Reports of seaweed aquaculture area management including conflict mitigation and risk management

Year 3

- Reports of the technical and economic performance assessments of the pilot seaweed farms based on 6 crop cycles (Kappaphycus) and 12 crop cycles (Caulerpa).
- Reports of value chain analyses of selected high value product forms.
- Report of the technical and economic performance assessments of bio-refinery systems

- Reports of feasibility studies of the production of three products by community enterprises.
- Investment model of a community-based bio-refinery (2)
- Training modules on best management practices.

Year 4

- Guide to Promoting Sustainable Seaweed Aquaculture in the SEA Region (1)
- Regional Principles of Responsible and Safe Seaweed Aquaculture (1)
- Toolkit to guide application of Principles in developing standards of safety as well as provide guidelines for updating or developing national codes of practice and industry best management practices (1)(1)
- Information package contributing to the development of standards of environmental safety, workers' safety, and product safety (1)
- Farming System Models of deepwater seaweed farms (PH -2; VN -2)
- Farming System Model of a nearshore Caulerpa small-scale farm (VN -1)
- Investment models of an SME engaged in value adding i.e. processing and marketing of consumer products (PH-3, VN-3)
- Package of good practices:
 - Manuals, Handbooks and Guidelines for Deepwater large scale seaweed farming (2)
 - Guidelines for providing and sustaining a Support System (enterprise management, association management, technical skills, value chain development) for seaweed farmer and processors associations or cooperative (2)
 - Guidelines for establishing and maintaining a seedbank for high quality seaweed propagules (1)
- National Seaweed Development Plan (VN) incorporating results of the project salient to policy, management and technical aspects of the Plan (1)

Knowledge product development and sharing

The knowledge products developed above will be packaged into formal, publicly available information products, adhering to SEAFDEC, WWF and GEF brand guidelines.

A public-facing website, hosted by SEAFDEC, will be developed for the project to ensure that the targeted stakeholders and interested parties have access to knowledge and communication products developed under the project. The PMU will report on the progress, challenges and how they were overcome, best practices, and lessons learned at key events, training, and workshops.

The communication and knowledge products will be shared directly with key stakeholders via methods defined in the Stakeholder Engagement Plan including.

Budget and Roles and Responsibilities

\$159,125 has been budgeted to support knowledge management and communication products. The PMU in coordination with the National PMUs will validate the KM and Communications Strategy and Plan and finalize the timetable for initiating and completing the deliverables. A communication specialist (consultancy) will be recruited by SEAFDEC to support development of communication products and materials. He/she will capture knowledge from the regional and national level and package in a consistent way.

The production of information materials will be a collaborative work among the regional and national management units in planning the materials, collecting and assembling the data and information including assuring the relevance, validity and reliability of the information. Dissemination of the products at the regional and global levels will be the overall responsibility of the PMU. The National PMUs will perform this function at the national and local levels.

The full Knowledge Management and Communication Plan can be found in Appendix 3.

9. Monitoring and Evaluation.

The project monitoring and evaluation plan has been developed in coordination with various stakeholders. The following reports will be delivered as part of the project's monitoring and evaluation plan.

M&E/ Reporting Document	How the document will be used	Timeframe	Responsible
Inception Report	 Summarize decisions made during inception workshop, including changes to project design, budget, Results Framework, etc. 	Within three months of inception workshop	PMU Project Manager/Technical Advisor and M&E Officer
Annual Work Plan and Budget (AWP&B)	 Plan activities and budget for each project year 	Annual	PMU Project Manager/Technical Advisor
Quarterly Field Report	 Inform PMU PM on progress, challenges and needs of activities in field. 	Every three months	N-PMU
Quarterly Financial Reports	 Assess financial progress and management. 	Every three months	PMU F&A officer N-PMU
WWF Project Progress Report (PPR), annual Results Framework and workplan tracking.	 Document project progress Inform management decisions and drafting of annual workplan and budget; Share lessons internally and externally; Report to the PSC and GEF Agency on the project progress. 	Every six months	PMU Project Manager/Technical Advisor, N-PMU
Project Closeout Report	 Based on the format of the PPR Summarize project results and overall outcomes to the PSC and GEF Agency. 	One month after technical close	PMU Project Manager/Technical Advisor
Mid-term Project Evaluation Report	 External formative evaluation of the project; Recommendations for adaptive management for the second half of the project period; Inform PSC, GEF and other stakeholders of project performance to date. 	Midterm	External expert or organization
Terminal Project Evaluation Report	 External summative evaluation of the overall project; Recommendations for GEF and those designing related projects. 	Before project completion	External expert or organization

\$350,220 has been budgeted for M&E.

Budgeted activity	Budget
BFAR (PH) will receive a subgrant to implement the M&E plan at the national level. This will cover staff	42,240
time of the N-PMU staff time to monitor project results, including monitoring of safeguards and gender.	
D-Fish (VN) will receive a subgrant to implement the M&E plan at the national level. This will cover staff	18,480
time of the N-PMU staff time to monitor project results, including monitoring of safeguards and gender.	
A mid-term and terminal evaluation have been budgeted as part of the M&E Plan (at \$45,000 each)	99,000
An M&E specialist will be recruited by SEAFDEC Year 1 of the project to support a more detailed M&E	33,000
Plan and methodologies, and setting up M&E systems for the Project Manager/Technical Advisor to	
implement throughout the life of the project.	
40% of the SEAFDEC Project Manager/Technical Advisor's time has been budgeted to M&E, as he/she	72,500
will be responsible for overall monitoring of the project and delivering M&E reports according to the	
M&E Plan.	
Annual reflection workshops with the full team, as well as workshops for developing the annual	36,000

workplan, have been budgeted	
Travel is budgeted for implementing the M&E Plan (monitoring missions, travel to workshops listed	49,000
above)	
Total	\$350,220

The complete M&E Plan can be found in Section 2.7 of the Project Document.

10. Benefits.

The project's contribution to the ultimate goal of human well-being is the sum total of the environmental, economic and social benefits that it generates. The benefits are inter-related, and their synergy enhances the overall outcome.

Among the noteworthy social and economic benefits are the increased opportunities for the farming households, but especially the women who have a major participation in the family seaweed enterprise in Southeast Asia, to earn more; this contributes to their empowerment at the household and community levels. Empowerment enhances their opportunities and capacity to earn more income. Direct economic benefit comes from the improvement of farm household and community revenues from higher crop productivity, higher production volume and better-quality farm product, small-scale production and sale of seaweed-based consumer products, and better access to market.

The synergy can be expressed thus: A healthy and resilient ecosystem can better support economic development and contribute to social cohesion and resilience. Economic development fosters social stability and provides a better platform for promoting social equity and gender equity. Social stability and equity are requisites of a conducive social climate, that encourages investments in economic development and environmental management. Economically developed communities tend to pay more attention and allocate more resources to improve their environment.

11. Environmental and Social Safeguard (ESS) Risks

Overall Project/Program Risk Classification: Medium

Measures to address identified risks and impacts

The Project will Comply with WWF's Environmental and Social Safeguards Framework (ESSF), as detailed in the Environmental and Social Safeguards Integrated Policies and Procedures (SIPP). The Project has been categorized as Category "B" given that it is essentially a conservation initiative, expected to generate significant positive and durable social, economic, and environmental benefits. Any adverse environmental and social impacts are expected to be minor and site specific and can be mitigated.

Safeguards mitigation plans have been prepared for both Viet Nam and the Philippines. For Viet Nam, an Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), including a Process Framework (PF), has been prepared. For the Philippines, an ESMF, including a PF and an Indigenous Peoples Planning Framework (IPPF), has been prepared. These ESMFS define procedures for managing the project activities' potential environmental and social risks and impacts.

The Project is required to comply with WWF's Standard on Environmental and Social Risk Management, the Standard on Grievance Mechanisms, and the Standard on Stakeholder Engagement. The following standards below have been considered and if necessary, triggered.

Standard on Protection of Natural Habitats: This standard has been triggered as the project will support the creation for four seaweed demonstration farms, which will include small scale infrastructure and planting of seaweed propagules. No invasive species will be used. There is also the potential that the infrastructure could lead to marine mammal entanglement. Measures to avoid, minimize, and/or mitigate these risks to natural habitats have been incorporated into the ESMFs for both Viet Nam and the Philippines.

Standard on Restriction of Access and Resettlement: This standard has been triggered because the project will support marine spatial plans / provincial seaweed development plans for target sites. These development plans may include marine

zoning restrictions, which might restrict access to fishing or other traditional uses. However, by making offshore farms, there should not be the same level of tenure issues as near-shore farms. The sites will be placed somewhere that avoids or minimizes access restriction. For both Viet Nam and the Philippines, the Process Framework, as part of the ESMF, details the mitigation measures for access restriction.

Standard on Indigenous Peoples: As a precautionary approach, this standard has been triggered as there are Indigenous Peoples present in the project areas for the Philippines. Local stakeholder meetings showed that there are two classifications of residents on Green Island, Roxas – local residents (those born and raised on Green Island) and migrants (i.e., residents who came from other provinces or municipalities in Palawan. The Cuyunon is the largest ethnic group residing on Green Island (originally from the municipality of Cuyo in Palawan) and are also involved in seaweed farming. The Cuyunons are identified as one of the indigenous groups in Palawan, but unlike the other ethnic groups, they are well-integrated into communities and the whole province (i.e., have adopted the national system of governance). They have also become less and less distinguishable because they have long intermingled with residents from other municipalities in Palawan. In the two demonstration sites in Viet Nam, no indigenous peoples are present. An IPPF will be prepared as part of the ESMF for the Philippines.

Standard on Community Health and Safety: This standard has been triggered. Under Component 3, there will be four demonstration offshore seaweed farms. This might bring additional challenges like ensuring workers' wellbeing in demanding environments. Protecting the safety of employees and infrastructure might be challenging in difficult conditions and remote areas that are harder to reach and monitor from land. Mitigation measures to avoid or minimize these risks have been incorporated into the ESMFs for Viet Nam and the Philippines.

Standard on Pest Management: Seaweed farming does not require any inputs (pesticides), therefore the project is not expected to contribute to pesticide use or other relevant chemicals and this standard has not been triggered.

Standard on Cultural Resources: This standard is not triggered as the project is highly unlikely to have an impact on cultural resources.

Two Safeguards and Gender Officers will be hired for the Project; one in the National-PMU in Viet Nam, and the other in the National-PMU in Philippines. The Safeguards Officers will implement the ESMFs and conduct compliance monitoring, supervision, and reporting. The EAs will implement the ESMFs and associated monitoring, and where there might be gaps in capacity, the Safeguards Officer will build capacity through trainings and collaboration.

A project-level grievance mechanism will be developed in the first six months of implementation for both Viet Nam and the Philippines, in line with the guidance and principles established in the ESMFs. The WWF GEF Agency's grievance mechanism will be available throughout the project lifecycle, and accessible to stakeholder and project-affected peoples.

The final ESMFs (including PFs and IPPF) and the Stakeholder Engagement Plans (SEPs), will be disclosed on the websites of the EAs for a 45-day public disclosure period and final documentation will be disclosed in country in a locally accessible manner for at least 45 days in order to issue the Safeguards Compliance Memo prior to Agency Approval.

PART IV: ANNEXES

Annex A: Project Results Framework

See Appendix 6.

Annex B: Response to Project Reviews

Council Comments

	Comment	Response
UK	We note GEF has to be careful that projects do not inadvertently appear to support territorial claims in disputed territories. Climate change and biodiversity are global issues and are not separated by political/geographical boundaries. The South China Sea is also a sensitive area although we do note that the project is flagged as "international waters".	The project sites will take place in the EEZ of the Philippines and Viet Nam, respectively. Activities will be in line with the South China Sea SAP.
Australia	Regarding the regional project in the Philippines and Viet Nam, we note that it is working in the South China Sea. Could you provide more information as to where in the South China Sea this project will be operating?	 An initial agency response was provided. During project development, specific sites were selected. This includes: Green Island, Roxas, Palawan Province, Philippines Buenavista, Zamboanga City, Zamboanga del Sur, Philippines Ninh Thuan Province, Vietnam Khanh Hoa, Province, Vietnam Coordinates and a map have been provided in the Portal and in the ProDoc submission (see Appendix 1). The sites in Philippines are along the South China Sea.
Germany	 Germany approves the following PIF in the work program but asks that the following comments are taken into account. Germany welcomes the proposal to work in the coastal and marine ecosystems of the Philippines and Viet Nam for the expansion of seaweed aquaculture and the respective value chains. The regional scope for relevance of expected results and impact beyond the two countries is comprehensible. Suggestions for improvements to be made during the drafting of the final project proposal: Germany suggests to seek cooperation and synergies with the ongoing bilateral cooperation between Viet Nam and Germany "Working for a climate-resilient future for the Mekong Delta" which works on improving coastal zone management, climate change resilience impact, gender mainstreaming, regional coordination of investment planning, sustainable value 	 In Viet Nam, the project will seek cooperation and synergies with the ongoing project "Working for a climate-resilient future for the Mekong Delta." While the Blue Horizon project is not working specifically in the Mekong Delta, the project plans to outscale to 10 provinces in Viet Nam. This will provide the opportunity for cooperation and leveraging between the two projects. In addition, as noted by Germany, given that similar topics are being addressed by both projects, the project will seek to promote cooperation and learning between the two projects. GIZ and their active GoV partners in the Mekong Delta project will be engaged and synergy sought in terms of the seaweed management plans and any inputs into provincial coastal planning processes The project will also share results in the Mekong Delta Forum in which coastal functional zoning is a major topic. The pilot demonstration farms for Kappaphycus will be turned over to the Cooperatives that will be organized during the

	 chains for aquaculture products, and cooperation with the private sector and civil society to further develop value chains (https://www.giz.de/en/worldwide/73408. html). Germany suggests to consider what form of continuation the four planned demonstration farms can have, beyond the lifetime of the project, in order to continue to serve the purpose of capacity building for farmers and other stakeholders. 	project implementation that will take part in the farms' management and operation. The Caulerpa demonstration farms – operated in cooperation with a private firm that pioneered Caulerpa farming and product processing and will continue to provide training and a source of technical advice as well as planting materials to farmers beyond the lifetime of the project. The pilots become the basis for scalability led by market incentives and drivers. The cooperatives will be established and, as evidenced with shrimp, will be self-sustaining (i.e. deriving economic benefits will be the way in which capacity building will continue). The expected new investments by EoP will themselves ensure continuation.
Norway / Denmark	 General comment: Overall, this is a good project that aligns well with Vietnam's marine economy priorities and taps into a potential resource of the country. Specific comments: Further elaboration on rationales for selecting Vietnam and the Philippines for 	• The rationale for selecting the Project countries as well as the project sites (and the description of the sites) is found in Section 1.1 page 9 of the ProDoc. The Philippines and Viet Nam offer the context and experiences from which the Project can draw lessons that will be broadly applicable and relevant to the Southeast Asian Region. Their seaweed industries represent the range of social,
	 this project and how they could complement or cooperate in this project; The mapping of the related projects should include on-going and upcoming projects on climate change, marine plastics and blue economy and how this project could 	 economic, environmental and governance issues that the Project seeks to address. The knowledge management strategy includes sharing of experiences and lessons between the two projects and with other countries. A number of ongoing and uncoming regional
	 The role, division of work and labour among the project's regional, national and local partners and stakeholders should be further angled out. Private sector, and 	(GEF and Non-GEF) projects have been identified for collaboration. These are noted in Section 1.6 of the ProDoc.
	 Turtner spelled out. Private sector, sea culture associations and local NGOs should be given adequate attention; The risk mitigation should be improved as the overall risk assessed is from medium to high. There is also little or no assessment of potential risks negatively affecting 	In terms of marine plastics, the Project will build on ongoing programs by Coast4C and include an activity on collection and recycling of discarded fishing gears. Baseline initiatives on blue economy and climate change are also included in this section.
	 crosscutting issues, especially with regard to environmental impact. Reference is made to assessments and methodologies for ecosystem carrying capacities and ASC & MSC seaweed standards with guiding principles for sustainable seaweed production. This is important but needs to be further assessed. Even though seaweed potentially could 	 The sections on Institutional Arrangement and Stakeholder Engagement (2.3 and 2.4 in the ProDoc) describe the roles and relationships among the various stakeholders (national, regional, local and global; government agencies, CSOs, INGOs, private industry associations, farmers' associations, farmers'
	have many positive effects on food	and technical assistance agencies) at various stages of the project development,

security and climate change, there are still large knowledge gaps attached to the potential negative impacts on the ecosystem from large scale seaweed production and will necessitate a more complete understanding in order to balance environmental risks with the benefits that seaweed cultivation projects can offer. Large amounts of seaweed biomass can lead to oxygen deficiency, changes in biodiversity and poor ecological condition. Large uptake of nutrients will be able to outcompete natural seaweed and algae growth which in turn can affect the basis of the food chain, fish stock and ultimately humans. Whether seaweed cultivation contributes to the unwanted spread of species, genes and diseases is currently largely unknown.

• The Scottish Association for Marine Science is an important partner in this regard. They have published work on the environmental risks associated with the development of seaweed farming, and identified potential risks, effects, mitigating measures and monitoring requirements with regard to seaweed cultivation (Addition of Artificial Material, Absorption of Kinetic Energy, Release of Dissolved and Particulate Matter, Habitat for Diseases, Parasites and Non-native Species etc.). This could be an important tool in developing a sustainable contribution.

implementation, and post-implementation.

• The potential risks that could impact the project and the project sites - social, biological, natural, economic - have been identified, assessed, characterized and their mitigation measures described. The threats from climate change and the pandemic and the strategies and measure to manage the impacts are given special attention (see Section 3.5 of the ProDoc). There are views on both sides of the scientific establishment on the positive and negative impacts of large scale production of seaweed on the ecosystem. The latest on this is represented by this article: https://theconversation.com/kelp-wont-helpwhy-seaweed-may-not-be-a-silver-bullet-forcarbon-storage-after-all-178018

The Project will keep abreast of scientific findings on this issue for the purpose of informing the recommendations on best practices that it will develop and disseminate.

 SAMS, UK took part in the national stakeholders consultation in the Philippines on 28 June 2021 (representative was Prof Elizabeth Cottier-Cook, Programme leader). In their response to a questionnaire from the project preparation team, SAMS highlighted the relevance of their mandate and functions to these aspects of the Project and expressed interest in collaborating in any of these: policy and R and D; capacity building; product development; information sharing; technical and non-technical innovation; communitybased activities.

Among the sources of best practices and lessons learned during project preparation is the policy brief, "Ensuring the Sustainable Future of the Rapidly Expanding Global Seaweed Aquaculture Industry -- A Vision" produced by the UN University -- Institute on Comparative Regional Integration Studies (CRIS) and Scottish Association for Marine Science (SAMS) (Technical Appendix 7). The policy brief highlights the challenges to the long-term sustainability of the global seaweed industry. i.e. biosecurity and traceability, pest and disease identification and outbreak reporting, risk analysis to prevent transboundary spread, the establishment of

				high quality discoses free coast benchmark
				high quality, disease- free seed-banks and nurseries and the conservation of genetic diversity in wild stocks; technological innovation, capacity building and effective gender-responsive and coordinated policies, incentives and regulations; occupational safety, while increasing the industry's resilience to the impacts of climate change and production hazards, such as pest and disease outbreaks; and attention to small scale farmers and processors to ensure that the globalisation of seaweed aquaculture supports the development of sustainable, resilient and
	•	As a regional project, the national ownership does not seem to be strong and as a result, the project's sustainability might need to be further looked into. The implementation structure within each country should be more detailed and prior stakeholder consultation is necessary; There should be more description of the implementation plan (description of potential demonstration sites/criteria,	•	development of sustainable, resilient and inclusive livelihoods. The project has strong national ownership., Strong national ownership is assured by the stakeholder engagement plan that resulted from the stakeholder consultations at various levels joined by numerous entities, among which are relevant government agencies and national industry associations. The institutional arrangement (section 2.3 of the ProDoc) describes clearly the roles and responsibilities of the participating entities. Section 2.2 of the ProDoc provides a comprehensive and detailed narrative of the Project's implementation strategy. The project results framework includes indicators to measure the expected outcomes/outputs. Component 4 of the Project comprises a systematic and comprehensive knowledge management strategy and plan. The project strategy (Section 2.2 of the ProDoc) provides for upscaling of pilot project results and outscaling of pilot project results and
	•	private sector engagement plan, discussion/alignment with local socio- economic development and environment protection, climate change adaptation plan); The result framework should include planned activities to achieve the expected outcomes/outputs with measurable indicators; Knowledge dissemination, model scale up,		Viet Nam and other countries in SEAsia. One output of the Project – Guide to Promote Sustainable Seaweed Industry in SEAsia – will be a major tool for outscaling the project along with the toolkits to be produced for training. Models of the pilot demonstration farms and their performance will be developed that will facilitate adoption during outscaling.
		technology incubation / development measures need to be thought through.		
USA	•	The USAID Protect Wildlife Program, which	•	Lessons Learned from the "Protect Wildlife

 closed in December 2020, has three community-based organ southern Palawan and Zamboa with conservation-oriented se production. We recommend th project utilize the lessons learn that work. When looking at adaptations a technologies, we recommend the negative impact of climate seaweed production, and its co with "ice-ice disease." We also recommend exploring "aged" later iterations of the project to carbon sequestration. 	 supported izations in anga City aweed hat this hed from the climate change risk analysis carried out during Project preparation identifies mitigation measures including opportunities for seaweed farming to help communities adapt to the climate change impacts (Section 3.5 Table 16 of the ProDoc). One negative impact of climate change on seaweed farming is the rise in water temperature. This is addressed by siting seaweed farms in deeper and cooler areas. Ice-ice and other diseases as well as a rising seawater temperature are going to be addressed by the project's sourcing of seedlings – through partnership with research institutions and the establishment of nurseries of seaweed, with the technical assistance from the institutions that are bred for tolerance to these pressures.
	As to the potential of "aged" seaweed for increased carbon sequestration, the prospect of harvesting the crops of Eucheumatoids (the species to be used in the pilot farms) very late could be economically unviable to farmers. The project will nevertheless explore this option.

STAP Comments

Comment
STAP Overall Assessment and Rating: Concur
STAP welcomes the Blue Horizon project from WWF-US to provide ocean relief through seaweed aquaculture.

The project has an excellent focus on a promising market opportunity for low-impact food production with strong environmental benefits, aligned with Blue Economy agenda. This includes potential to offset more environmentally harmful land-based food production systems.

It also offers an excellent opportunity for scaling market-driven solutions, with good prospects for driving increased private investment in target countries and beyond. The project includes investment to support innovative technology (off-shore production) and financing (carbon credit markets). It also follows the global analysis on siting farms and selection of technologies, which increases the project's likelihood of success and scaling.

The theory of change provides good specification of how project outcomes respond to primary barriers. It provides clear logic with excellent benefits addressing climate change and biodiversity, in addition to economic and food-security benefits. There is a very good initial specification of quantified targets.

The project offers very good, specific and well-referenced identification of gender barriers, as well as positive trends to take these barriers into account. Among GEF projects, this is an unusually good opportunity to advance gender equality through employment and entrepreneurship at multiple stages of input provision, production, processing and trade. Even if the direct numbers of beneficiaries targeted are modest, the potential for scaling these benefits is much larger and merits attention.

Finally, the project does a good job identifying multinational private sector and foundation actors. However, stakeholder identification at the national and sub-national levels remains preliminary. Initial identification of roles is provided; however, this needs further development before CEO endorsement.

Question	STAP comment	Response
Is there a clearly-articulated vision of how the innovation will be scaled-up, for example, over time, across geographies, among institutional actors?	Yes, though additional detail on mechanisms for scaling, leveraging existing networks (SEAFDEC, Global Seaweed Coalition) would be helpful prior to CEO endorsement.	Thank you for your comment. Additional detail has been provided on the scaling and leveraging of existing networks (SEAFDEC, Safe Seaweed Coalition) in section 3.7 of the ProDoc and in the Portal submission.
Will incremental adaptation be required, or more fundamental transformational change to achieve long term sustainability?	This is a domain where incremental change is beneficial, but rapid scaling of the technology and value chains will require some degree of transformation in policy & regulatory environment and investment patterns.	The project will facilitate rapid scaling through a private sector and investor engagement strategy; develop business prospectuses and models for scaling the seaweed farms and value-add processing technologies; and support policy and regulatory environments (to zone for seaweed expansion; policies/regulations to support seaweed development in an environmentally and socially conscious way).
Project Map and Coordinates. Please provide geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions will take place.	Maps lack geo-coordinates and site or provincial-level detail.	Maps and geo-coordinates of the site and provincial level have now been provided in the Portal submission and Appendix 1 of the ProDoc.
Have all the key relevant stakeholders been identified to cover the complexity of the problem, and project implementation barriers?	Stakeholder identification at national and sub-national levels remains preliminary. Good identification of multinational private sector and foundation actors.	Key stakeholders at the national and sub-national levels have been identified and included in the project's Stakeholder Engagement Plans. The Stakeholder Engagement Plans for
What are the stakeholders' roles, and how will their combined roles contribute to robust project design, to achieving global environmental outcomes, and to lessons learned and knowledge?	Preliminary identification of roles provided; needs further development before CEO endorsement.	the Philippines, Vietnam, and Regional level describe the roles and responsibilities of the global/regional, national and local stakeholders. It is submitted with the Project Document in a separate volume.
Are the identified risks valid and comprehensive? Are the risks specifically for things outside the project's control? Are there social and environmental risks which	Brief but adequate. Includes important issue of potential for conflict between small- and medium-scale producers, and effect on inequality. Climate risk	The risks to the project have been expanded upon in the Portal and in the ProDoc (section 3.5). A detailed climate risk screening was

could affect the project?	screening technology is indicated but should be further elaborated.	developed during project development for Philippines and Viet Nam, the climate risk screening has been uploaded to the portal, and risks have
		been summarized in the ProDoc (section 3.5).
Have specific lessons learned from previous projects been cited?	Would be good to specify particular lessons learned prior to CEO endorsement.	Lessons learned have been identified and included in the ProDoc (summarized in Section 3.8, and detailed in Appendix 10)
What overall approach will be taken, and what knowledge management indicators and metrics will be used?	KM well integrated as component 4. Would be good to specify indicators and metrics for KM.	The KM plan has been developed further during project development, and includes metrics and an overarching indicator in the results framework.

GEF Secretariat Comments for PPG

Comment	Response
Please be aware that at the time of CEO Endorsement,	Co-financing has been confirmed and letters have been
proof of the very large fraction of the cofinancing that	secured. This includes some private sector entities,
has been listed as Private sector (~69% of the entire	including Brabender and Tri Tin Company. Additional
identified co-financing at PIF stage).	private sector co-financing will be sought during project
	execution through a private sector and investment
	engagement strategy.

Annex C: Status of Utilization of Project Preparation Grant (PPG)

PPG Grant Approved at PIF: \$150,000					
	GETF/LDCF/SCCF Amount (\$)				
Project Preparation Activities Implemented		Amount	Amount		
	Amount	Spent To date	Committed		
Project Lead Consultant	40,000		40,000		
PH National Consultant	20,216	6,000	14,216		
PH Community Engagement and Gender Specialist	10,574	2,643	7,931		
WWF Viet Nam ((covers national consultant, community engagement and gender consultant, policy specialist, seaweed specialist)	50,000	50,000			
WWF PH (covers honorariums for consultants, validation workshop, WWF PH focal point time)	15,231	7930	7,301		
Safeguards consultant	11,000		11,000		
Agency Approval	2,979		2,979		
Total	150,000	66,573	83,427		

Annex D: Calendar of Expected Reflows N/A

Annex E: Project Map(s) and Coordinates



Philippines Sites

Viet Nam Sites





- **NES** 1. Green Island, Roxas, Palawan Province, Philippines
 - 2. Buenavista, Zamboanga City, Zamboanga del Sur, Philippines
- VIET NAM 3. Ninh Thuan Province, Vietnam
 - 4. Khanh Hoa, Province, Vietnam

Annex F: GEF 7 Core Indicator Worksheet

Core Indicator 5	Area of marine habitat under improved practices to benefit biodiversity1,882 ha					
Indicator 5.2	Number of	large marine ecosystem	is (LMEs) with reduced pollution and hypoxial 2			
				Numb	ber	
			Exp	ected	Achi	eved
			PIF stage	Endorsement	MTR	TE
		South China Sea	1	1		
		Sulu Celebs Sea	-	1		
Core Indicator 6	Greenhous	e gas emission mitigate	d			290
				Expected metric tons	s of CO ₂ e (6.1+6.2)	
			PIF stage	Endorsement	MTR	TE
		Expected CO2e (direct)	66,000	290		
	Ex	pected CO2e (indirect)				
Indicator 6.1	Carbon seq	uestered or emissions a	voided in the AFO	LU sector		
				Expected metric	tons of CO₂e	
			PIF stage	Endorsement	MTR	TE
		Expected CO2e (direct)	66,000	290		
	Ex	pected CO2e (indirect)				
	A	nticipated start year of				
		accounting				
	Duration of accounting			-		
Core Indicator	Number of shared water ecosystems (fresh or marine) under new or improved 2					
/	cooperative management					
Indicator 7.1	formulation and implementation					
	formulation and implementation					
				Endorsement	MTR	TE
		South China Sea	Fil Stage			16
		Sulu Celebs Sea		4		
Indicator 7 3	Level of Na	tional/Local reforms and	active narticinati	on of Inter-Ministeri	al Committees	
indicator 7.5	Leveroriva	Shared water		Rating (sc.	ale 1-4)	
		ecosystem	PIF stage	Endorsement	MTR	TF
		South China Sea	1	1		
Indicator 7.4	Level of en	gagement in IWLEARN t	nrough participatio	on and delivery of ke	ev products	
				Rating (sc	ale 1-4)	
		Shared water	Ra	ating	Rat	ing
		ecosystem	PIF stage	Endorsement	MTR	TE
		South China Sea	1	1		
		Sulu Celebs Sea		1		
Core Indicator	Number of	direct beneficiaries disa	aggregated by ger	nder as co-benefit of	GEF	15,000
11	investment	t				
			Number			
			Expected Achiev		eved	
			PIF stage	Endorsement	MTR	TE
		Female	11,000	11,000		
		Male	4,000	4,000		
		Total	15,000	15,000		

Annex G: GEF Project Taxonomy Worksheet

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Influencing models			
¥	Transform policy and		
	regulatory environments		
	Strengthen institutional		
	canacity and decision-making		
	Convone multi stakeholder		
	instruments		
Stakeholders			
	Indigenous Peoples		
	Private Sector		
		Capital providers	
		Financial intermediaries and market	
		facilitators	
		☑Large corporations	
		SMEs	
		☑ Individuals/Entrepreneurs	
		Non-Grant Pilot	
		Project Reflow	
	Beneficiaries		
		Community Based Organization	
		Non-Governmental Organization	
	I ype of Engagement		
		Partnership	
		Participation	
	Communications		
		Awareness Raising	
		Education	
		Public Campaigns	
		Behavior Change	
Capacity, Knowledge and			
Research			
	Enabling Activities		
	Capacity Development		
	Knowledge Generation and		
	Fxchange		
	Capacity Development		
Gender Equality			
	Gender Mainstreaming		
		Beneficiaries	
		Women groups	
		Sex-disaggregated indicators	
		Gender-sensitive indicators	
	Gender results areas		
		Access and control over natural resources	
		Participation and leadership	
		Access to benefits and services	
		Capacity development	
L	1		1

		Awareness raising	
		Knowledge generation	
Focal Areas/Theme			
	Integrated Programs		
		Commodity Supply Chains (¹⁷ Good Growth Partnership)	
			Sustainable Commodities Production
			Deforestation-free Sourcing
			High Conservation Value Forests
			High Carbon Stocks Forests
			Sovbean Supply Chain
			Oil Palm Supply Chain
			Beef Supply Chain
			Smallholder Farmers
			Adaptive Management
		Food Security in Sub-Sahara Africa	
			Resilience (climate and shocks)
			Sustainable Production Systems
			Agroecosystems
			Land and Soil Health
			Diversified Farming
			Integrated Land and Water
			Management
			Smallholder Farming
			Small and Medium Enterprises
			Crop Genetic Diversity
			Food Value Chains
			Gender Dimensions
			Multi-stakeholder Platforms
		Food Systems, Land Use and Restoration	
			Sustainable Food Systems
			Landscape Restoration
			Sustainable Commodity Production
			Comprehensive Land Use Planning
			Food Value Chains
		Suctoinchio Cition	
			Integrated urban planning
<u> </u>	1		
			Municipal waste management
	1		Green space
		1	Urban Biodiversity
			Urban Food Systems
			Energy efficiency
			Municipal Financing
			Global Platform for Sustainable Cities
			Urban Resilience
	Biodiversity		
		Protected Areas and Landscapes	
			UTerrestrial Protected Areas
			Coastal and Marine Protected Areas
			Productive Landscapes
			Community Based Natural Resource Management
		Mainstreaming	
			Extractive Industries (oil, gas, mining)
			☐ Forestry (Including HCVF and REDD+)

			Tourism
			Agriculture & agrobiodiversity
			Fisheries
			Infrastructure
			Certification (National Standards)
			Certification (International Standards)
			Plant Genetic Resources
			Animal Genetic Resources
			Livestock Wild Relatives
			Invasive Alien Species (IAS)
		Biomes	
			Mangroves
			Coral Reefs
			Sea Grasses
	1	1	Wetlands
<u> </u>	1	1	
	1	1	
	+		
	-		Desert
		Financial and Accounting	
			Payment for Ecosystem Services
			Natural Capital Assessment and
			Accounting
			Conservation Trust Funds
			Conservation Finance
		Supplementary Protocol to the CBD	
			Biosafety
			Sharing
			Sharing
		Ecrest and Landscape Restoration	
		Eorost	
		Sustainable Land Management	
			Encertain and kenabilitation of
			Degraded Lands
			Ecosystem Approach
			Integrated and Cross-sectoral approach
			Community-Based NRM
			Sustainable Livelihoods
			Income Generating Activities
			Sustainable Agriculture
	1	1	Sustainable Pasture Management
	1		Sustainable Forest/Woodland
			Management
			Improved Soil and Water Management Techniques
	1	1	Sustainable Fire Management
	1	1	Drought Mitigation/Early Warning
	1	Land Degradation Neutrality	
	1		Land Productivity

		Carbon stocks above or below ground
	Food Security	
☑ International Waters		
	Ship	
	⊠Coastal	
	Freshwater	
		Aquifer
		River Basin
		Lake Basin
	Learning	
	Fisheries	
	Persistent toxic substances	
	SIDS : Small Island Dev States	
	Targeted Research	
		Persistent toxic substances
		X Plastics
		Nutrient pollution from all sectors
		except wastewater
		Nutrient pollution from Wastewater
	Transboundary Diagnostic Analysis and	
	Strategic Action Plan preparation	
	Strategic Action Plan Implementation	
	Areas Beyond National Jurisdiction	
	Large Marine Ecosystems	
	Aquaculture	
	Marine Protected Area	
	Biomes	
		Mangrove
		Coral Reefs
		Seagrasses
		Polar Ecosystems
Chemicals and Waste		
	Mercury	
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining	
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants	
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers	
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Coment	
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production	
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production	
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants	
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic	
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Olinitentional Persistent Organic Pollutants	
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and	
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste	
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Waste Management	
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Waste Management	Hazardous Waste Management
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Waste Management	Hazardous Waste Management
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Waste Management	Hazardous Waste Management
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Waste Management Emissions	Hazardous Waste Management
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Waste Management Emissions Disposal	Hazardous Waste Management
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Management Emissions Disposal New Persistent Organic Pollutants	Hazardous Waste Management
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Waste Management Emissions Disposal New Persistent Organic Pollutants Polychlorinated Biphenvis	Hazardous Waste Management
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Waste Management Emissions Disposal New Persistent Organic Pollutants Polychlorinated Biphenyls Plastics	Hazardous Waste Management
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Management Emissions Disposal New Persistent Organic Pollutants Polychlorinated Biphenyls Plastics	Hazardous Waste Management
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Management Emissions Disposal New Persistent Organic Pollutants Polychlorinated Biphenyls Plastics Eco-Efficiency Pesticides	Hazardous Waste Management
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Management Emissions Disposal New Persistent Organic Pollutants Polychlorinated Biphenyls Plastics Eco-Efficiency Pesticides DDT - Vector Management	Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Management Industrial Waste Pe-Waste
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Management Emissions Disposal New Persistent Organic Pollutants Polychlorinated Biphenyls Plastics DDT - Vector Management	Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Hazardou
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Management Emissions Disposal New Persistent Organic Pollutants Polychlorinated Biphenyls Plastics DDT - Vector Management DDT - Other Industrial Emissions	Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Management Industrial Waste Pe-Waste
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Management Emissions Disposal New Persistent Organic Pollutants Polychlorinated Biphenyls Plastics Eco-Efficiency Pesticides DDT - Vector Management DDT - Other Industrial Emissions	Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Ma
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Waste Management Emissions Disposal Polychlorinated Biphenyls Plastics Eco-Efficiency Persticides DDT - Vector Management DDT - Other Industrial Emissions Open Burning	Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Hazardous Waste Hazardous Waste Hazardous Waste Management
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Waste Management Emissions Disposal Polychlorinated Biphenyls Plastics Eco-Efficiency Pesticides DDT - Vector Management DDT - Other Industrial Emissions Open Burning Best Available Technology / Best	Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Manag
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Waste Management Emissions Disposal Polychlorinated Biphenyls Plastics Eco-Efficiency Pesticides DDT - Vector Management DDT - Vector Management DDT - Other Industrial Emissions Open Burning Best Available Technology / Best Environmental Practices	Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Manag
	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Waste Management Emissions Disposal Polychlorinated Biphenyls Plastics Eco-Efficiency Pesticides DDT - Vector Management DDT - Other Industrial Emissions Open Burning Best Available Technology / Best Environmental Practices Green Chemistry	Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Hazardous Waste Hazardous Waste Hazardous Waste Management
□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Mercury Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining Coal Fired Power Plants Coal Fired Industrial Boilers Cement Non-Ferrous Metals Production Ozone Persistent Organic Pollutants Unintentional Persistent Organic Pollutants Sound Management of chemicals and Waste Waste Management Emissions Disposal Polychlorinated Biphenyls Plastics Eco-Efficiency Pesticides DDT - Vector Management DDT - Other Industrial Emissions Open Burning Best Available Technology / Best Environmental Practices Green Chemistry	Hazardous Waste Management Hazardous Waste Manag

	Climate Finance
	Least Developed Countries
	Small Island Developing States
	Disaster Risk Management
	Sea-level rise
	Climate Resilience
	Climate information
	Ecosystem-based Adaptation
	Adaptation Tech Transfer
	National Adaptation Programme of
	Action
	National Adaptation Plan
	Mainstreaming Adaptation
	Private Sector
	Innovation
	Complementarity
	Community-based Adaptation
Climate Change Mitigation	
	Agriculture, Forestry, and other Land
	Use
	Energy Efficiency
	Sustainable Urban Systems and
	Transport
	Technology Transfer
	Renewable Energy
	Financing
	Enabling Activities
Technology Transfer	
	Poznan Strategic Programme on
	Technology Transfer
	Climate Technology Centre & Network
	(CTCN)
	Endogenous technology
	Technology Needs Assessment
	Adaptation Tech Transfer
United Nations Framework on Climate	
Change	
	Nationally Determined Contribution