

Adaptive Management and Learning for the Commodities IAP

Part I: Project Information

Name of Parent Program

Comm-IAP: Taking Deforestation Out of Commodity Supply Chains (IAP-PROGRAM)

GEF ID

9179

Project Type

FSP

Type of Trust Fund

GET

Project Title

Adaptive Management and Learning for the Commodities IAP

Countries

Global

Agency(ies)

UNDP, WWF-US

Other Executing Partner(s):

ISEAL Alliance, Forest Trends

Executing Partner Type

Others

GEF Focal Area

Multi Focal Area

Taxonomy

Rio Markers

Climate Change Mitigation

Climate Change Adaptation

Duration

48In Months

Agency Fee(\$)

358,060

A. Focal Area Strategy Framework and Program

Objectives/Programs	Focal Area Outcomes	Trust Fund	GEF Amount(\$)	Co-Fin Amount(\$)
IAP-Commodities	The Commodities Integrated Approach seeks to turn the sustainable production of key commodities from niche and specialized operations to the norm in each commodity sector. The Program overall objective is to reduce the global impacts of agriculture commodities on GHG emissions and biodiversity by meeting the growing demand of palm oil, soy and beef through supply that does not lead to deforestation and related GHG emissions.	GET	3,978,441	5,266,887
BD-4_P9	Program 9: Managing the Human-Biodiversity Interface. Contributing to Outcome 9.1 by increasing the area of productive landscapes that integrate sustainability criteria into their management; and Outcome 9.2 by incorporating biodiversity and forest cover considerations in national and subnational agriculture commodity policies.	GET		
CCM-2_P4	Program 4: Promote conservation and enhancement of carbon stocks in forests, and other land use, and support climate smart agriculture. Contributing to both Outcome A and B by accelerating the adoption of management practices that reduce GHG emission from land use change and deforestation, and supporting the development and implementation of model policy, planning and regulatory frameworks that foster low GHG development from agriculture commodities.	GET		
SFM-1	Program 1: Integrated land use planning. Program 2: Identification and maintenance of high conservation value forests. Program 3: Identifying and monitoring forest loss. Contributing to both Outcomes 1 and 2 on cross-sector policy and planning approaches at appropriate governance scales and innovative mechanisms to avoid the loss of high conservation value forest.	GET		
Total Project Cost(\$)			3,978,441	5,266,887

B. Project description summary

Project Objective

Project Component	Financing Type	Expected Outcomes	Expected Outputs	Trust Fund	GEF Project Financing(\$)	Confirmed Co-Financing(\$)

1. Program Coordination, Monitoring and Evaluation and Adaptive Management	Technical Assistance	<p>Outcome 1: Coordinated management of the Commodities Integrated Approach Pilot leading to logical technical sequencing of activities, Program-level monitoring and evaluation and overall resilience, as measured by: - Level of logical technical sequencing of activities across individual child projects as measured by annual national level inter-agency workplans to achieve expected Program goals and their effective implementation (target: 16 national level workplans) - Effectiveness of adaptive management within the IAP as measured by the number of successful adaptive management practices that address bottlenecks in implementation or in attainment of Program goals</p>	<p>Output 1.1: Logical technical sequencing of activities is achieved across individual child projects Output 1.2: Program-level monitoring and evaluation takes place Output 1.3: Program-level feedback loops enable tracking of progress on key deliverables and among agencies and implementing partners, leading to adaptive management and supporting Program resilience</p>	GET	851,600
--	----------------------	---	--	-----	---------

2. Global Impacts Platform	Technical Assistance	Outcome 2: Increased understanding of the impacts of voluntary sustainability standards (VSS) and VSS-like mechanisms to increase the effectiveness of these mechanisms for taking deforestation out of commodity supply chains, as measured by: - establishment and effective functioning of the Global Impacts Platform - number of new syntheses and summaries of evidence uploaded onto the Platform and associated audience-specific communications created disseminated	2.1 Global online database and knowledge platform on the deforestation reduction and related impacts of VSS and VSS-like mechanisms 2.2 Documents synthesizing evidence base disseminated to key decision makers 2.3 Engagement with stakeholders and decision-makers at knowledge-sharing events, fostering learning and adaptive management toward increasing the effectiveness of VSS and VSS-like mechanisms	GET	1,229,317	4,120,000
----------------------------	----------------------	---	--	-----	-----------	-----------

3. Knowledge management, partnerships and communications	Technical Assistance	Outcome 3: Knowledge management, partnerships and communications activities implemented to maximize learning, foster synergies and promote upscaling of actions to take deforestation out of commodity supply chains, as measured by: # of knowledge products to share IAP insights and learnings (target: at least 1 publication, articles on the Guardian Sustainable Business hub for a four- month period, and 2 info briefs) # of active partners with which the IAP is engaged at a programmatic level (through two-way sharing of information, expertise or tools; collaboration to increase impacts; implementation of delivery services, or provision of co-financing) (target: 6 active partnerships by Program end)	Output 3.1: Knowledge generated by the Program is shared at the national and global levels and relevant learnings from other parties and other IAP Programs are shared, captured and leveraged; Output 3.2: Broad Global Community of Practice convenes to share best practices and lessons learned across countries and to promote replication; Output 3.3: Strong partnerships are established to support knowledge management and increase synergies in order to maximize progress toward reducing deforestation from global commodity production; Output 3.4: Implementation of a Program-level Communications strategy raises awareness of the impacts of the IAP and facilitates dissemination of knowledge.	GET	1,708,074	1,146,887
--	----------------------	--	--	-----	-----------	-----------

	Sub Total (\$)	3,788,991	5,266,887
Project Management Cost (PMC)			
	GET	189,450	
	Sub Total(\$)	189,450	0
	Total Project Cost(\$)	3,978,441	5,266,887

C. Sources of Co-financing for the Project by name and by type

Sources of Co-financing	Name of Co-financier	Type of Co-financing	Amount(\$)
Donor Agency	UK Department for International Development	Grant	1,500,000
Donor Agency	Swiss State Secretariat for Economic Affairs (SECO)	Grant	800,000
GEF Agency	UNDP	Grant	1,146,887
Donor Agency	Ford Foundation	Grant	700,000
CSO	ISEAL Alliance	In-kind	120,000
CSO	Rainforest Alliance	Grant	1,000,000
Total Co-Financing(\$)			5,266,887

D. Trust Fund Resources Requested by Agency(ies), Country(ies), Focal Area and the Programming of Funds

Agency	Trust Fund	Country	Focal Area	Programming of Funds	NGI	Amount(\$)	Fee(\$)
UNDP	GET	Global	Multi Focal Area	IAP-Commodities	No	2,749,124	247,421
WWF-US	GET	Global	Multi Focal Area	IAP-Commodities	No	1,229,317	110,639
Total Grant Resources(\$)						3,978,441	358,060

E. Non Grant Instrument

NON-GRANT INSTRUMENT at CEO Endorsement

Includes Non grant instruments? **No**

Includes reflow to GEF? **No**

PPG Required

150,000

13,500

Agency	Trust Fund	Country	Focal Area	Programming of Funds	NGI	Amount(\$)	Fee(\$)
UNDP	GET	Global	Multi Focal Area	IAP-Commodities	No	150,000	13,500
Total Project Costs(\$)						150,000	13,500

G. Projects' Target Contributions to Global Environmental Benefits

Corporate Results	Replenishment Targets	PIF Project Targets	Project Targets
1. Maintain globally significant biodiversity and the ecosystem goods and services that it provides to society	Improved management of landscapes and seascapes covering 300 million hectare	39,379,000.0	13,294,000.0
2. Sustainable land management in production systems (agriculture, rangelands, and forest landscapes)	120 million hectares under sustainable land management	1,000,000.0	1,000,000.0
3. Promotion of collective management of transboundary water systems and implementation of the full range of policy, legal, and institutional reforms and investments contributing to sustainable use and maintenance of ecosystem services	<p>Water-food-ecosystems security and conjunctive management of surface and groundwater in at least 10 freshwater basin</p> <hr/> <p>20% of globally over-exploited fisheries(by volume) moved to more sustainable levels</p>		
4. Support to transformational shifts towards a low-emission and resilient development path	750 millions of CO2e mitigated (include both direct and indirect)	66,124,000.0	66,124,000.0
5. Increase in phase-out, disposal and reduction of releases of POPs, ODS, mercury and other chemicals of global concern	<p>Disposal of 80,000 tons of POPs (PCBs, obsolete pesticides)</p> <hr/> <p>Reduction of 1000 tons of Mercury</p> <hr/> <p>Phase-out of 303.44 tons of ODP (HCFC)</p>		
6. Enhance capacity of countries to implement MEAs (multilateral Environmental agreements) and mainstream into national and sub-national policy, planning financial and legal frameworks	<p>Development and sectoral planning frameworks integrate measurable targets drawn from the MEA in atleast 10 countries</p> <hr/> <p>Functional environmental information systems are established to support decision-making in atleast 10 countries</p>		

PART II: Project JUSTIFICATION

1. Project Description

A.2. Child Project?

If this is a child project under a program, describe how the components contribute to the overall program impact.

A.3. Stakeholders

Please provide the Stakeholder Engagement Plan or equivalent assessment.

Documents	Title	Submitted
-----------	-------	-----------

In addition, provide a summary on how stakeholders will be consulted in project execution, the means and timing of engagement, how information will be disseminated, and an explanation of any resource requirements throughout the project/program cycle to ensure proper and meaningful stakeholder engagement.

Select what role civil society will play in the project:

Consulted only;

Member of Advisory Body; Contractor;

Co-financier;

Member of project steering committee or equivalent decision-making body;

Executor or co-executor;

Other (Please explain)

A.4. Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment

Please briefly include below any gender dimensions relevant to the project, and any plans to address gender in project design (e.g. gender analysis).

Documents	Title	Submitted
-----------	-------	-----------

Does the project expect to include any gender-responsive measures to address gender gaps or promote gender equality and women empowerment?

If yes, please upload document or equivalent here

If possible, indicate in which results area(s) the project is expected to contribute to gender equality:

Closing gender gaps in access to and control over natural resources;

Improving women's participation and decision making

Generating socio-economic benefits or services or women

Will the project's results framework or logical framework include gender-sensitive indicators?

A.5. Risks

Elaborate on indicated risks, including climate change, potential social and environmental risks that might prevent the project objectives from being achieved, and, if possible, the proposed measures that address these risks at the time of project implementation.

A.6. Institutional Arrangement and Coordination

Describe the Institutional arrangement for project implementation. Elaborate on the planned coordination with other relevant GEF-financed projects and other initiatives.

Additional Information not well elaborated at PIF Stage:

A.7. Benefits

Describe the socioeconomic benefits to be delivered by the project at the national and local levels. How do these benefits translate in supporting the achievement of global environment benefits (GEF Trust Fund) or adaptaion benefits (LDCF/SCCF)?

A.8. Knowledge Management

Elaborate on the Knowledge management approach for the project, including, if any, plans for the project to learn from other relevant projects and initiatives (e.g. participate in trainings, conferences, stakeholder exchanges, virtual networks, project twinning) and plans for the project to assess and document in a user-friendly form (e.g. lessons learned briefs, engaging websites, guidebooks based on experience) and share these experiences and expertise (e.g. participate in community of practices, organize seminars, trainings and conferences) with relevant stakeholders.

B. Description of the consistency of the project with:

B.1. Consistency with National Priorities

Describe the consistency of the project with nation strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions such as NAPAs, NAPs, ASGM NAPs, MIAs, NBSAPs, NCs, TNAs, NCSAs, NIPs, PRSPs, NPFE, BURs, INDCs, etc.

C. Describe The Budgeted M & E Plan:

PART III: Certification by GEF partner agency(ies)

A. GEF Agency(ies) certification

GEF Agency Coordinator	Date	Project Contact Person	Telephone	Email
Adriana Dinu	7/29/2016	Andrew Bovarnick	+507 302 4589	andrew.bovarnick@undp.org

ANNEX A: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK (either copy and paste here the framework from the Agency document, or provide reference to the page in the project document where the framework could be found).

ANNEX B: RESPONSES TO PROJECT REVIEWS (from GEF Secretariat and GEF Agencies, and Responses to Comments from Council at work program inclusion and the Convention Secretariat and STAP at PIF).

ANNEX C: STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF PROJECT PREPARATION ACTIVITIES AND THE USE OF FUNDS.

A. Provide detailed funding amount of the PPG activities financing status in the table below:

ANNEX D: CALENDAR OF EXPECTED REFLOWS (if non-grant instrument is used)

Provide a calendar of expected reflows to the GEF/LDCF/SCCF/CBIT Trust Funds or to your Agency (and/or revolving fund that will be set up)

ANNEX E: GEF 7 Core Indicator Worksheet

Use this Worksheet to compute those indicator values as required in Part I, Table G to the extent applicable to your proposed project. Progress in programming against these targets for the program will be aggregated and reported at any time during the replenishment period. There is no need to complete this table for climate adaptation projects financed solely through LDCF and SCCF.

ANNEX F: Project Taxonomy Worksheet

Use this Worksheet to list down the taxonomic information required under Part1 by ticking the most relevant keywords/topics//themes that best describes the project

ANNEX G: Project Budget Table

Please attach a project budget table.