



ROAD MAP FOR WORKING TOGETHER

Salmon Aquaculture Dialogue

Katherine Bostick, WWF US

OVERVIEW

- Clarify definitions
- Provide examples
- Guidance for discussion
- What next?



DEFINITIONS

	Definition	Non-aquaculture example	Aquaculture example (from Tilapia Dialogue)
<i>Impact</i>	The problem we want to minimize or eliminate	Overweight	Water pollution
<i>Principle</i>	The guiding principle for addressing the impact	Maintain a healthy weight	Conserve water resources
<i>Criteria</i>	The area to focus on to address the impact	Food consumption	Nutrient use and release

DEFINITIONS CONTINUED

	Definition	Non-aquaculture example	Aquaculture example
<i>Indicator</i>	What to measure in order to determine the extent of the impact	Calories	The amount of phosphorus added and released per metric ton of fish produced
<i>Standard</i>	The number and/or performance level to reach to determine if the impact is being minimized	< 4.5 calories/kilogram of body weight/day	Phosphorus input or utilization in tilapia aquaculture operations will not exceed 30 kg P /mt fish produced and loads of phosphorus released into natural receiving waters will not exceed 22 kg P/mt fish produced

PRINCIPLE 3

- Protect the health and genetic integrity of wild populations
 - *Relevant impacts: disease/parasites, escapes, chemicals, siting*
- CRITERIA
 - Introduced or amplified parasites and pathogens
 - Introduced exotic or GMO species
 - Escapes
 - Chemical use
 - Proximity to wild salmon populations/runs
 - Health and genetic integrity of local wild stocks of Atlantic and Pacific salmon



PURPOSE & FORMAT OF SMALL GROUP DISCUSSION

- Identity and discuss the full range of participant issues and suggestions regarding principles, criteria, and indicators
- Role of the facilitator: to ensure that useful and comparable information is captured
 - Clarifying purpose
 - Managing discussion fairly
 - Summarizing and documenting
 - Assist with concisely reporting out
- Diverse small groups (8-10 people)



BREAK-OUT SESSION ONE: PRINCIPLES 1-4 AND ASSOCIATED CRITERIA

- Principles:
 - Have undergone several iterations
 - Similar principles vetted and agreed upon in other Aquaculture Dialogues
- Aim to wrap up principles based on feedback at this meeting
- *Do the draft principles capture the key impacts and your critical issues and concerns?*



BREAK-OUT SESSION ONE: PRINCIPLES 1-4 AND ASSOCIATED CRITERIA

○ Criteria

- Have undergone Steering Committee discussion
 - Have been compared to criteria from other Aquaculture Dialogues
-
- Aim to prepare criteria for broader public comment based on feedback at this meeting
 - *How can the rough draft criteria be improved?*



IDEAS RECORDED IN COMMON TEMPLATE

Key headlines/take-aways:

DRAFT PRINCIPLES	SUGGESTED CRITERIA	IDEAS FOR INDICATORS
P1: Obey all applicable international and national laws, and comply with local regulations	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Xxx• Xxx• XXX	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• XXX• XXX• XXX <p>To be discussed on Day Two of the Meeting</p>
P2: Conserve natural habitat and local biodiversity [and ecosystem services]		



LOOKING FORWARD

- All ideas captured on laptops
- Key themes and issues noted on full screen during report back session
- Steering Committee meets to review and incorporate feedback
- Future opportunities for input
 - Email SC or Katherine
 - Review and comment on meeting summary
 - Submit comments on revised draft criteria via web



QUESTIONS?

- Is the roadmap clear?

www.worldwildlife.org/salmondialogue

katherine.bostick@wwfus.org

